fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Dan Malooly

620000

William Turner
517 N Merrill St
Park Ridge, IL 60068-3403

Dec 17, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

623001

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
William Turner

Tessa Meiser
6443 Clarendon Hills Rd
Willowbrook, IL 60527-2150

Dec 17, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

There are no good options for disposing of the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

Ban fracking in this state and everywhere else before it's too late.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Tessa Meiser

Elisa Helford
1705 Highland Ter
Glenview, IL 60025-2284

Dec 17, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for

high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Elisa Helford

Brighid Malone
1107 Sherman Ave
Evanston, IL 60202-1335

Dec 17, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two

respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Brighid Malone

Russell Rybicki
2803 S Myra Ridge Dr
Urbana, IL 61802-7034

Dec 17, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

026036

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

I have been concerned about fracking for long time. We can't take chances with our water!!!

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed

rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Russell Rybicki

Benita Coffey
7430 N Ridge Blvd
Chicago, IL 60645-1913

Dec 17, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Benita Coffey

Lynnley Wilson
22148 Schaaf Ct
Richton Park, IL 60471-1032

Dec 17, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

This is why the public doesn't trust our government to make the hard decisions needed to protect our environment. Why in the world would The Illinois Department of Natural Resources, the very department SWORN to protect our resources and keep our groundwater safe and untainted, release new INADEQUATE regulations for fracking in our state?? Fracking has already PROVEN to pollute and poison the lands and environments surrounding these "extraction" sites. These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

The Illinois Department of Natural Resources CANNOT allow this to happen! The people of Illinois are depending on you to protect us. Don't be coerced by promises of "jobs and money". Believe me, Illinois will see little profit despite the enticing promises and perks being dangled in front of your department. There are things that are far more important than the wealth of these corporations whose only goal is to make more and more profit and the future be damned. Once these waters are contaminated, they are poisoned forever. It can't be undone!!

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or

abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Lynnley Wilson

#20010

Philip Southworth
1111 Ontario St Apt 810
Oak Park, IL 60302-1983

Dec 17, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

626411

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Philip Southworth

Matthew Black
2241 S Marshall Blvd
Chicago, IL 60623-3534

Dec 17, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

020012

Fracking is a new technology and it's under-studied. We need to approach it with caution. Protecting the environment is good for business, good for the prosperity of Illinois, and good for its citizens.

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Matthew Black

Linda Trujillo
6821 White Ct
Woodridge, IL 60517-1925

Dec 17, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits,

when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Linda Trujillo

Lena Rush
2621 W Rice St # 3
Chicago, IL 60622-4541

Dec 17, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and

fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Lena Rush

Linda Sullivan
5008 N Hermitage Ave
Chicago, IL 60640-2732

Dec 17, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to

create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Linda Sullivan

marilyn black
1630 Sheridan Rd
Wilmette, IL 60091-1876

Dec 17, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for

626019

fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
marilyn black

Marvin Makinen
5628 S Harper Ave
Chicago, IL 60637-1832

Dec 17, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater,

our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

We cannot afford to ruin the environment and the health of our children.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Marvin Makinen

mark zablocki
17 Broadway Pl
Normal, IL 61761-3610

Dec 17, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well

(measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
mark zablocki

Bob Gendron
6134 N Maplewood Ave
Chicago, IL 60659-2804

Dec 17, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

626023

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence

of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Bob Gendron

john johnson
1109 Grant Ave
Rockford, IL 61103-6105

Dec 17, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at

(a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
john johnson

Cindy Jensen
508 Park Ct
Fox River Grove, IL
60021-1125

026026

Dec 17, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating

new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Cindy Jensen

Susan Lee
1354 Waukegan Rd
Northbrook, IL 60062-4680

Dec 17, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,

626529

Susan Lee

carol hanson
2460 Swan Pond Rd
Dongola, IL 62926-2616

Dec 17, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile

away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
carol hanson

Melodie Huffman
47 Shorewood Dr S
Danville, IL 61832-1415

Dec 17, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Melodie Huffman

Nancy Laskero
7039 W Summerdale Ave
Chicago, IL 60656-1942

Dec 17, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well

(measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Nancy Laskero

John Landers
PO Box 126
Oneida, IL 61467-0126

Dec 17, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

026034

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence

of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
John Landers

Leland Becker
625 Woodlea Rd
Kankakee, IL 60901-8197

Dec 17, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at

(a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Leland Becker

Barrett Goldflies
4824 W Balmoral Ave
Chicago, IL 60630-1504

625037

Dec 17, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Barrett Goldflies

Trisha Winn
11 Jan Ave
Kankakee, IL 60901-6003

Dec 17, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or

abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Trisha Winn

626048

Gary Houston
1525 W Granville Ave
Chicago, IL 60660-1811

Dec 17, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Gary Houston

Ricardo Garcia
6732 S Pulaski Rd
Chicago, IL 60629-4119

Dec 17, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for

high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Ricardo Garcia

Keith Adams
1432 W Elmdale Ave Apt 3w
Chicago, IL 60660-2563

Dec 17, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two

respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Keith Adams

michael landess
627 Hardin Ave
Jacksonville, IL 62650-3067

Dec 17, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

026045

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one wel! blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

626046

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
michael landess

Kenneth Jones
9058 Forestview Rd
Evanston, IL 60203-1913

Dec 17, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and

grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Kenneth Jones

norman sands
11649 S Brightway Dr
Mokena, IL 60448-1437

026043

Dec 17, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
norman sands

Robert Sacks
641 Sunset Dr
Naperville, IL 60540-6630

Dec 17, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from

fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Robert Sacks

Charles Jelinek
1542 W Sherwin Ave Apt 2n
Chicago, IL 60626-2136

Dec 17, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Charles Jelinek

Cat Roberts
3202 S Prairie Ave Apt 3
Chicago, IL 60616-5701

Dec 17, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can

guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Cat Roberts

David Rechs
221 S Maple Ave Apt A
Oak Park, IL 60302-3095

Dec 17, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those

existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
David Rechs

James Bodkin
13 Turning Shore Dr
South Barrington, IL
60010-9597

Dec 17, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

020056

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
James Bodkin

mathieu sussman
251 N Larch Ave
Elmhurst, IL 60126-2731

Dec 17, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and

grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
mathieu sussman

Marie Quinn
304 Stewart Ave
Waukegan, IL 60085-2062

026059

Dec 17, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Marie Quinn

Elizabeth Schuch
1239 Highridge Pkwy
Westchester, IL 60154-3422

Dec 17, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from

fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Elizabeth Schuch

Steve Schueth
421 W Barry Ave
Chicago, IL 60657-5545

Dec 17, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

625563

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Steve Schueth

Laura Maushard
2718 N Prospect Rd
Peoria, IL 61603-2141

Dec 17, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

n20064

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can

guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Laura Maushard

George Brower
3908 N Grant St
Westmont, IL 60559-1106

Dec 17, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those

existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
George Brower

Todd Hartman
1603 Imperial Dr
Glenview, IL 60026-1543

Dec 17, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

026067

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

D20068

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Todd Hartman

Rita Kain
219 Bates St
Earlville, IL 60518-8133

Dec 17, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits,

when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking. I was just curious, did ALEX write these rules, it sure seems that they had a major influence on them!

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Rita Kain

Joseph Nowosielski
15630 Rockdale Rd
South Beloit, IL 61080-9201

020070

Dec 17, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Joseph Nowosielski

James Sarelas
3860 Sugar Hill Rd
Ava, IL 62907-2130

Dec 17, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from

fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
James Sarelas

626873

susan friedman
3900 N Lake Shore Dr Apt 7c
Chicago, IL 60613-3463

Dec 17, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

020074

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
susan friedman

Charlene Wrobel
3930 N Clark St
Chicago, IL 60613-2651

Dec 17, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can

guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Charlene Wrobel

Jonice Taylor
6750 S Ridgeland Ave
Chicago, IL 60649-2047

Dec 17, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those

existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Jonice Taylor

Nicholas Williams
816 Cole Ct
Plano, IL 60545-9815

Dec 17, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Nicholas Williams

Gary Wisby
2538 Gross Point Rd
Evanston, IL 60201-4929

Dec 17, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits,

when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Gary Wisby

Joseph Jenkins
26448 N Bittersweet Trl
Mundelein, IL 60060-9505

Dec 17, 2013

626031

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Do what is right for the earth . Do not let GREED prevail.

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

What are we getting in return for destroying our most precious resources that are vital for not only the next generation of humans but the total ecosystem ?? Do you really believe that we must continue the earths destruction in the name of job creation ? ? It is clear there is value, big value to the few with the mega bucks and mega influence on the DNR to compromise the life quality of the masses . There will be no turning back once the damage is done and the legacy of the DNR will be one of shame. Countless jobs could be created with the development of safe alternate energy sources. ASs it stands , those running away with shameful money will not be around to see their systems fail. Greed has made all of them terribly short sighted. These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously

inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Joseph Jenkins

Elizabeth H Horner
PO Box 540
Wilmette, IL 60091-0540

Dec 17, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules.

The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an

outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Elizabeth H Horner

Kathleen Hathaway
12888 Williams Rd
Genoa, IL 60135-7750

Dec 17, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under

the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Kathleen Hathaway

Michael Johnson
PO Box 892
Mundelein, IL 60060-0892

Dec 17, 2013

Food & Water Watch

026086

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed

rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Michael Johnson

Lori Andrews
1250 Pin Oak Ln
Caseyville, IL 62232-2001

Dec 17, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Lori Andrews

Sarah Winblad
918 S Carpenter St

626089

Chicago, IL 60607-4283

Dec 17, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that

widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Sarah Winblad

Tim Marcum
PO Box 137
Weldon, IL 61882-0137

Dec 17, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Tim Marcum

Christopher Beer
4433 N Wolcott Ave Apt 2n
Chicago, IL 60640-5826

Dec 17, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one

well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Christopher Beer

Courtney Bean
1308 E Mumford Dr
Urbana, IL 61801-6908

Dec 17, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources

and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Courtney Bean

Mary Krieg
17 Lakeview Dr
Freeburg, IL 62243-1808

Dec 17, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits

on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Mary Krieg

Rose & John Martin
16845 Head Ave
Hazel Crest, IL 60429-1309

Dec 17, 2013

Food & Water Watch

026097

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois

will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Rose & John Martin

J W
I
Chicago, IL 60625

Dec 17, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
J W

John & Rose Bagley
25w042 Keswick Ln
Naperville, IL 60540-5910

626100

Dec 17, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating

new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
John & Rose Bagley

Penny Blubaugh
4613 N Oketo Ave
Harwood Heights, IL
60706-4690

Dec 17, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Penny Blubaugh

Nada Finn
3315 Victoria Ln
Waukegan, IL 60087-5346

Dec 17, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one

well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Nada Finn

Ken Pize
230 N Whisp
Maperville, IL 60550

Dec 17, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources

and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Ken Pize

lynn beagle
38500 N Lakeside Pl
Antioch, IL 60002-8150

Dec 17, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits

on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
lynn beagle

Marisol Acevedo
2064 Holt Ln
South Elgin, IL 60177-2205

Dec 17, 2013

Food & Water Watch

620108

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow. And lead to tremors in previously stable areas.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. This regulation is inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals vague criteria for fracking permits. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Marisol Acevedo

Sandra Virella
1189 Heathrow Ln
Aurora, IL 60502-6814

Dec 17, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well

(measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Sandra Virella

Kaitlyn Rose
62 Mill St.
Elsah, IL 62028

Dec 17, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

626111

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence

of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

How can you even consider such an obviously dangerous procedure? Your mandate is to protect the environment and the health of the citizens of this state. Please do your job, and ban fracking before it has a chance to do irreparable harm to both.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Kaitlyn Rose

Betsy Davis
97 E Sycamore St
Normal, IL 61761-1656

Dec 17, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit--Please support a ban on fracking!

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

Dear DNR,

The most valuable resources that we have in Illinois are our abundant water and our rich farmland. Both of these would be destroyed by fracking.

When that happens, and it will, Illinois will be a state of ghost towns.

No amount of fracking industry propaganda is going to convince people that it is safe, because there are so many examples that already exist that prove that it is NOT SAFE.

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Betsy Davis

Luan Railsback
2719 W Reservoir Blvd Apt C9
Peoria, IL 61615-4169

Dec 17, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at

(a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Luan Railsback

Helen Page
3315 Culver St
Evanston, IL 60201-1113

Dec 17, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Helen Page

James Lindholm
337 Iroquois Rd
Hillside, IL 60162-1633

Dec 17, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or

abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
James Lindholm

020119

Matt McCrory
South Desplaines St
Chicago, IL 60661

Dec 17, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Matt McCrory

Christine Stefano
1134 W Columbia Ave Apt
3w
Chicago, IL 60626-4558

Dec 17, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

626121

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Christine Stefano

Kent Kubert
936 W Margate Ter
Chicago, IL 60640-3839

Dec 17, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well

(measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Kent Kubert

Carol Kimsey
1162 Highland Ave
Oak Park, IL 60304-2243

Dec 17, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

026124

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence

of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Carol Kimsey

Calvin E Johnson
1505 W Central St Apt E
Marion, IL 62959-1648

Dec 17, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at

(a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

The fracking industry does not care about Illinois or the immeasurable devastation that results from fracking. Their sole objective is profit - profiting at the expense of others.

As citizens of Illinois we must care deeply for the sake of our environment and the future well-being of our state, its residents and our children.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Calvin E Johnson

Daniel Becker
1800 Maplewood Ln
Glenview, IL 60025-3011

Dec 17, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one

020123

well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Daniel Becker

Anna Assenmacher
1767 N Hoyne Ave
Chicago, IL 60647-5513

Dec 17, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources

and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Anna Assenmacher

Jodey Schonfeld
901 Cheshire Dr
Champaign, IL 61821-3300

Dec 17, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits

on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Jodey Schonfeld

Frankie DiCiaccio
2009 W Byron St
Chicago, IL 60618-3901

Dec 17, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois

will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Frankie DiCiaccio

John Stoner
2358 S Marshall Blvd
Chicago, IL 60623-3535

Dec 17, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
John Stoner

Douglas Kowalewski
336 Hiawatha Dr
Lake IN The Hills, IL

626135

60156-1421

Dec 17, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that

widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Douglas Kowalewski

Angie Affolter
1650 Winston Ct
Mundelein, IL 60060-3372

Dec 17, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Angie Affolter

Matthew Burns
628 N Genesee St
Waukegan, IL 60085-2074

Dec 17, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one

well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Matthew Burns

Thomas Humphrey
9630 Keeler Ave
Skokie, IL 60076-1128

Dec 17, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources

and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Thomas Humphrey

Terrence Lynch
839 1/2 Pine St
East Alton, IL 62024-1042

Dec 17, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits

on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Terrence Lynch

John Gray
592 Stuart Dr
Carol Stream, IL 60188-4409

Dec 17, 2013

Food & Water Watch

626143

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois

will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
John Gray

Karen Rissman
1285 S Lawler Ave
Lombard, IL 60148-4265

Dec 17, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Karen Rissman

David Gustafson
3419 50th St
Moline, IL 61265-6619

626146

Dec 17, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating

new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
David Gustafson

Linda Tyson
2907 Bob O Link Rd
Flossmoor, IL 60422-1417

Dec 17, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

I am appalled that the state would jeapordize the health of our citizens, especially our must vulnerable, the children by proposing such weak rules for fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can

guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Linda Tyson

Carol Jurczewski
452 Shenstone Rd
Riverside, IL 60546-2032

Dec 17, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those

existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

FRACKING NEEDS TO BE MORE REGULATED!! IT IS EXTREMELY ENVIRONMENTALLY
DAMAGING!

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Carol Jurczewski

ann buxbaum
661 Checker Dr
Buffalo Grove, IL
60089-1410

Dec 17, 2013

626151

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it

back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
ann buxbaum

Dwyane Johnson
2113 S 4th Ave
Maywood, IL 60153-3326

Dec 17, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can

flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Dwyane Johnson

Charles Stransky

5060 N Marine Dr Apt E1
Chicago, IL 60640-3213

Dec 17, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of

contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Charles Stransky

Tracy Koppel
5434 S Cornell Ave
Chicago, IL 60615-5604

Dec 17, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Protect Our Precious Groundwater!

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing

fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Tracy Koppel

Jon Kelley
PO Box 478534
Chicago, IL 60647-7247

Dec 17, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously

inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Jon Kelley

ivan sizemore
913 Shorewood Dr
Bartlett, IL 60103-4733

Dec 17, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules.

The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an

outright ban on fracking.

With out safe clean fresh water we all die and fracking endangers our clean fresh water let us not be fracking nuts in Illinois ; please do the right thing DNR .

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
ivan sizemore

Robert Beck
1105 E Mayfair Rd
Arlington Heights, IL
60004-6725

Dec 17, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Robert Beck

Mary Cray
63 N Cowley Rd
Riverside, IL 60546-2041

626162

Dec 17, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

When I got my degree in Natural Resources from UW/Stevens Point, one of my best instructors said that if we forget everything he has taught us, please remember this, "Never, ever, mess with water." Your new fracturing rules indicate that you do not deserve to be called a department of Natural Resources.

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile

away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Mary Cray

Leah Orgel
13981 W Elm Ln
Wadsworth, IL 60083-9443

Dec 17, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Leah Orgel

Michael Buehler
106 S Virginia Ave
Belleville, IL 62220-3881

Dec 17, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well

(measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Michael Buehler

luz Bustamante
123 S Fairview Ave
Park Ridge, IL 60068-4017

Dec 17, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

626167

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence

of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
luz Bustamante

KAYE AURIGEMMA
10846 Martindale Dr
Westchester, IL 60154-5021

Dec 17, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at

(a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
KAYE AURIGEMMA

Jonathan Doss
2336 48th St
Moline, IL 61265-5001

Dec 17, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Jonathan Doss

Rosemary Wyche
322 W 101st St
Chicago, IL 60628-1937

Dec 17, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or

abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

Initially we were poisoning Mother Earth with pesticides and other -'cides at the surface level and NOW you want to go to the interior? Looks like we will be our biggest enemy unless you do the RIGHT thing - BAN fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can

guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Rosemary Wyche

Craig Williams
319 Dempster St
Evanston, IL 60201-4797

Dec 17, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those

existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Craig Williams

Ro Johnson
1153 S Humphrey Ave
Oak Park, IL 60304-2237

Dec 17, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Ro Johnson

Paul Schutt
310 S Racine Ave
6
Chicago, IL 60607-2841

Dec 17, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and

grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Paul Schutt

Paul Schutt
310 S Racine Ave
6
Chicago, IL 60607-2841

626173

Dec 17, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Paul Schutt

Melissa Gordon
532 Forest Ave
Oak Park, IL 60302-1602

Dec 17, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or

abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

When will we as a state learn from the mistakes that have already been by other states.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Melissa Gordon

Cheshire Adams
Chicago
Chicago, IL 60645

Dec 17, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously

inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Cheshire Adams

Katharine Egan
1714 Greenwood St
Evanston, IL 60201-4035

Dec 17, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules.

The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an

outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Katharine Egan

Michael Mallie
313 Illinois
Streator, IL 61364

Dec 17, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under

the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Michael Mallie

Tom Mula
5302 N Glenwood Ave
Chicago, IL 60640-2219

Dec 17, 2013

Food & Water Watch

626186

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

Our drinking water is nothing to fool around with, for the sake of
someone making a few dollars. WE DON'T KNOW ENOUGH ABOUT WHAT THIS DOES
YET TO TAKE THE RISK OF POISONING OUR GROUNDWATER.

HOLD OFF UNTIL WE KNOW MORE.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Tom Mula

frank james Johnson
2901 W 38th St
Chicago, IL 60632-1739

Dec 17, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can

guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
frank james Johnson

diane libman
1132 W Lunt Ave
Chicago, IL 60626-3563

Dec 17, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those

existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
diane libman

sandra earley
3653 S Emerald Ave
Chicago, IL 60609-1638

Dec 17, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
sandra earley

T C
16 H ST
C H, IL 60403

Dec 17, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits,

when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
T C

Sergio Rivera
3319 N Karlov Ave
Chicago, IL 60641-4611

Dec 17, 2013

626193

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and

fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Sergio Rivera

James Stephens
276 Kenston Ct
Geneva, IL 60134-2062

Dec 17, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to

create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
James Stephens

Sara Foszcz
7301 W Burgett Rd
Richmond, IL 60071-9787

Dec 17, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

The extreme damage fracking can cause can't be reversed in our lifetime - and you can't pretend there aren't people and animals whose lives have been lost & ruined because of fracking...if we have to have it (which we don't) - at least make regulations that are going to stand watch over our most precious natural resource. If our water is lost - so are we...it truly is that simple!

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those

existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Sara Foszcz

Helen Seiler
1500 Chapel Ct
Northbrook, IL 60062-4650

Dec 17, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

626199

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Helen Seiler

Terri Kierski
330 W 1st St
Spring Valley, IL 61362-1304

Dec 17, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits,

when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Terri Kierski

Jose Santiago
16617 S Mueller Cir
Plainfield, IL 60586-7275

Dec 17, 2013

626201

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and

fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on tracking.
I don't want to see any form of fracking in this state, what's more important fuel that kills our water and land or lives? I rather ride a horse than to sacrifice my grand children's future health. Do what the people who elected you to do want not what special interest want. No means NO!!!!

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Jose Santiago

Megan Norris
1000 W Washington Blvd
Chicago, IL 60607-2137

Dec 17, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing

fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Megan Norris

Peter Masterton
680 W Bel Aire Ln
Mount Prospect, IL
60056-4225

Dec 17, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock

formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Peter Masterton

Richard Cichon
3215 Arthur Ave
Brookfield, IL 60513-1221

Dec 17, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only

begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Richard Cichon

Robert Clapper
1079 Bucks Pond Rd
Monticello, IL 61856-8058

Dec 17, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned

wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Robert Clapper

Donald Wegener
541 N Ashbury Ave
Bolingbrook, IL 60440-1201

Dec 17, 2013

626209

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it

back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Donald Wegener

jerry arnolts
9 Hilltop Trailer Ct
Lexington, IL 61753-9614

Dec 17, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can

flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
jerry arnolts

jacqueline buehring

020212

841 Proud Clarion Ct
Naperville, IL 60540-6824

Dec 17, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of

contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
jacqueline buehring

Merle Felder
4230 N Northbrook Ct
Peoria, IL 61614-6737

Dec 17, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing

fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

625215

Sincerely,
Merle Felder

Rita Killean
4063 W Glenlake Ave
Chicago, IL 60646-5238

Dec 17, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously

inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Rita Killean

Sarah Antos
5740 Vial Pkwy
La Grange Highlands, IL
60525-3740

Dec 17, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only

begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Sarah Antos

angelo sturino
170 N Northwest Hwy
Park Ridge, IL 60068-3340

Dec 17, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned

wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
angelo sturino

Judith Hultzen
4524 N Mozart St
Chicago, IL 60625-3817

Dec 17, 2013

026220

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it

back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Judith Hultzen

Dawn O'Rourke
3827 N Fremont St
Chicago, IL 60613-3020

Dec 17, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can

flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Dawn O'Rourke

John McCowen

626223

607 N Almond St
Carbondale, IL 62901-1307

Dec 17, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of

contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
John McCowen

james hodgkinson
5941 Rolling Hills Ln
Belleville, IL 62223-7003

Dec 17, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing

fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
james hodgkinson

Carl Augenstein
2002 N Halsted St
Chicago, IL 60614-4332

Dec 17, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously

inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Carl Augenstein

Nancy Graham
388 Narragansett Ct
Crystal Lake, IL 60012-3701

Dec 17, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules.

The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an

outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Nancy Graham

Nancy Graham
388 Narragansett Ct
Crystal Lake, IL 60012-3701

Dec 17, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under

the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Nancy Graham

mike beckstrom
53 W Crystal Lake Ave
Crystal Lake, IL 60014-6157

Dec 17, 2013

Food & Water Watch

020231

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed

rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
mike beckstrom

Allison Fradkin
3616 Torrey Pines Pkwy
Northbrook, IL 60062-3104

Dec 17, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Allison Fradkin

james Heller
2651 W Lunt Ave

026234

Chicago, IL 60645-3216

Dec 17, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that

widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
james Heller

Michael Mikulka
2018 Blue Pine Dr
Crystal Lake, IL 60012-1184

Dec 17, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Michael Mikulka

Jeremy Kirkman
28w790 Calumet Ave E
Warrenville, IL 60555-2814

Dec 17, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one

well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Jeremy Kirkman

Diana Urbon
846 Fox Chase Dr
Round Lake Beach, IL
60073-4102

Dec 17, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules.

The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an

outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Diana Urbon

Dawn Larsen
2720 W Greenleaf Ave
Chicago, IL 60645-3014

Dec 17, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under

the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Dawn Larsen

Allan Cardenas
5626 W Pensacola Ave
Chicago, IL 60634-1827

Dec 17, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed

rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Allan Cardenas

Kathy Hurd
642 Courtney ln
Winfield, IL 60190

Dec 17, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Kathy Hurd

0 0
2538 W Superior St

026445

Chicago, IL 60612-1109

Dec 17, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that

widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
0 0

Nova Randolph
21848 Mocaby Rd
Thompsonville, IL
62890-3802

Dec 17, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Are you truly a citizen-serving organization??? Not according to the fracking rules you've proposed!!

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater,

our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-

volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Nova Randolph

John & Barbara Sullivan
2229 N Brighton Pl
Arlington Heights, IL
60004-3349

Dec 17, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those

existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
John & Barbara Sullivan

Pearl Berman
18611 W Sterling Ct
Grayslake, IL 60030-4015

Dec 17, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Pearl Berman

Aaron Thompson
645 N Kingsbury St
Chicago, IL 60654-6940

Dec 17, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits,

when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Aaron Thompson

Kathryn Schubert
1113 W Webster Ave
Chicago, IL 60614-3510

Dec 17, 2013

626253

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

Just don't allow fracking anywhere, especially in Illinois

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Kathryn Schubert

Gloria Callaci
2431 Pioneer Rd
Evanston, IL 60201-2520

Dec 17, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or

abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Gloria Callaci

Matt Fitzgerald
420 E Ohio St
Chicago, IL 60611-3390

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Let's ruin all of the ground water!! Hooray!!

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

020257

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Matt Fitzgerald

Clifford White
1002 S 2nd St
Springfield, IL 62704-3024

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed

rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Clifford White

Peter Ayres
25w640 Indian Hill Woods
Naperville, IL 60563-1468

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for

fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Peter Ayres

Richard Seitz
32 State St Apt A
Charleston, IL 61920-1481

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two

respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Richard Seitz

Robin Pinsof
2784 Fort Sheridan Ave
Highland Park, IL 60035-1417

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Robin Pinsof

Paul Schutt
310 S Racine Ave
Chicago, IL 60607-2841

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing

fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking. Please stop it NOW!

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Paul Schutt

Sarah Cardiff
902 Sundew Ct
Aurora, IL 60504-6880

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

626263

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Sarah Cardiff

Jerry Golden
307 S Sherman St
Clinton, IL 61727-2512

626264

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

626265

Sincerely,
Jerry Golden

Carol Devoss
1003 Ash St
St Charles, IL 60174-4116

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Carol Devoss

James O'Malley
920 Dartmouth Dr
Wheaton, IL 60189-6126

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
James O'Malley

Rita Graves
121 E Southgate Rd
Peoria, IL 61614-3028

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one

well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Rita Graves

Alice Englebretsen
501 E California Ave
Urbana, IL 61801-4335

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned

wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Alice Englebretsen

Eileen Welch
2134-3rd Street
Moline, IL 61265

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from

fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

I support a total ban on fracking for Illinois!

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Eileen Welch

Jovanka Hedeker
425 Willow Ave
Deerfield, IL 60015-4025

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed

rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Jovanka Hedeker

Eberhard Veit
3502 S Kilkenny Dr
Crystal Lake, IL 60014-4714

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Eberhard Veit

626273

Donna Buechler-Furey
2675 Piper Ln
Springfield, IL 62707-6927

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an

outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Donna Buechler-Furey

John Llewellyn
4529 N Mozart St
Chicago, IL 60625-3816

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and

fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
John Llewellyn

Bogdan Kwak
1541 Harlem Ave
Forest Park, IL 60130-2670

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Bogdan Kwak

Kathie Cunningham
6846 N Washtenaw Ave
Chicago, IL 60645-4524

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits

on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Kathie Cunningham

karen tilton
2656 Union St
Blue Island, IL 60406-1925

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can

flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
karen tilton

Wyman Whipple
1427 Knox Highway 12
Dahinda, IL 61428-9301

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Wyman Whipple

Nicholas Williams
816 Cole Ct
Plano, IL 60545-9815

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

626239

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Nicholas Williams

Rhoda Symons
345 Elmwood Ave

- 026261

Crystal Lake, IL 60014-5163

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and

625282

well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Rhoda Symons

Rhoda Symons
345 Elmwood Ave
Crystal Lake, IL 60014-5163

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence

of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Rhoda Symons

Joseph Goble
1123 Maple Ave
Macomb, IL 61455-3241

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that

widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Joseph Goble

Albert Chesrow
2103 W Webster Ave
Chicago, IL 60647-3319

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock

formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Albert Chesrow

Ryan Pilcher
1531 N Talman Ave # 1
Chicago, IL 60622-1630

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and

grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Ryan Pilcher

Elizabeth Kinsman
4515 N Karlov Ave
Chicago, IL 60630-4401

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Elizabeth Kinsman

Stephen French
29 Pine Circle Dr
Tuscola, IL 61953-2024

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed

rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Stephen French

Beverly Lucas
900 W Sunset Dr Apt 211
Glenwood, IL 60425-1153

Dec 3, 2013

626252

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Beverly Lucas

026290

Vicki Zoch
408 Blackberry Dr
Bull Valley, IL 60098-8103

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an

626291

outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Vicki Zoch

Sienna Cittadino
1513 Dogwood Rd
Carbondale, IL 62902-5095

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and

fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

The people of Illinois will not sit idly by; Private hydraulic fracturing companies, the government, and all others with vested interests in fracking cannot possible hope to simply force their way of doing things through on this issue. There will be governmental oversight with strict rules, or no fracking at all. Although the latter is what I prefer, we will in no instance give up on getting our home and our people the best possible treatment and opportunities as are humanly possible.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Sienna Cittadino

Ariel Moore
229 Indian Trl
Lake IN The Hills, IL 60156-1389

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under

the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Ariel Moore

Julie McLauchlin
1421 E 54th Pl
Chicago, IL 60615-5404

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to

create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Julie McLauchlin

Jonathon MeDrano
1032 S Springinsguth Rd
Schaumburg, IL 60193-3404

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

626295

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Jonathon MeDrano

William Kavanagh
710 S Oak Park Ave
Oak Park, IL 60304-1216

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

625296

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
William Kavanagh

Amy Andrews

626297

2815 W Fargo Ave
Chicago, IL 60645-1221

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume

hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Amy Andrews

Joyce Case
38w322 Berquist Dr
Geneva, IL 60134-6139

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois

will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Joyce Case

Bette Jordan
5122 Lee St
Skokie, IL 60077-2140

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of

contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Bette Jordan

Cheryl Engram Francis
1410 Vines Rd
Cobden, IL 62920-3615

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those

existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Cheryl Engram Francis

Ken Schmidt
178 S Lombard Ave # 1401
Lombard, IL 60148-2750

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at

(a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Ken Schmidt

kim sears
harrison sy
Batava, IL 60510

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
kim sears

Robert Jakubiec
992 Reserve Ct
Fox Lake, IL 60020-2125

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Robert Jakubiec

Nicolle Nadreau
18543 W Main St
Gages Lake, IL 60030-1756

02635

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,

624336

Nicolle Nadreau

Vince Vitale
2009 Tracy Ct
Hanover Park, IL 60133-5124

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only

626337

begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Vince Vitale

Charlene Nelson
10877 US Route 150
Oakwood, IL 61858-6126

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Charlene Nelson

Pamela Eaton
861 Dumaroc Rd
De Soto, IL 62924-3025

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile

away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Pamela Eaton

Myra Perez
1148 Center Dr
Marengo, IL 60152-3633

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under

the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Myra Perez

Darrel Follman
300 Circle Ave
Forest Park, IL 60130-1674

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to

create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Darrel Follman

emma bradshaw
2868 Valley Forge Rd
Lisle, IL 60532-3256

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

625312

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
emma bradshaw

robert davidson
9216 Robinson Ave
Franklin Park, IL 60131-2020

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

626313

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
robert davidson

Peter Gerlach

218 Sycamore Rd
Dekalb, IL 60115-2421

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume

626315

hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Peter Gerlach

Kathleen Rude
1056 Osterman Ave
Deerfield, IL 60015-4253

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: No Fracking in Illinois

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will NOT protect our groundwater from cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence

of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Kathleen Rude

Dean Peerman
929 W Foster Ave Apt 2023
Chicago, IL 60640-1685

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that

widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Dean Peerman

Molly Schafer
3827 N Paulina St
Chicago, IL 60613-2715

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock

formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Molly Schafer

Eva Beck
161 W Harrison St Unit 1102
Chicago, IL 60605-1081

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and

grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Eva Beck

Laura Campbell
13 Phillippi Creek Dr
Elgin, IL 60120-7586

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Laura Campbell

Ellen Alek
2275 Aurora Dr Unit 4
Pingree Grove, IL 60140-6440

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed

rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Ellen Alek

Sandra Ditlove
28774 W Manitoba Trl
Mchenry, IL 60051-7390

Dec 3, 2013

626322

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Sandra Ditlove

626323

Michael Ramirez
3441 Elmwood Ave
Berwyn, IL 60402-3839

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an

outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Michael Ramirez

Elizabeth Claffey
2157 W Sunnyside Ave
Chicago, IL 60625-1625

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and

fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Elizabeth Claffey

Annette Cavanagh
2771 Forreat Green Drive
Decatur, IL 62521-5475

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Annette Cavanagh

Karen Zedek
333 N Canal St Apt 1504
Chicago, IL 60606-1373

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits

on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Karen Zedek

Phyllis Treadwell
446 S State St
Hampshire, IL 60140-9644

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can

flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Phyllis Treadwell

Patricia Spencer
5832 S Stony Island Ave
Chicago, IL 60637-2059

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Patricia Spencer

Joe rejkowski
1009 Canyon Run Rd
Naperville, IL 60565-2857

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

626339

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Joe rejkowski

renee caputo
28w521 Purnell Rd

026331

West Chicago, IL 60185-4218

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

If the IDNR cannot use sound science to guide policy, then it is time to defund this agency for dereliction of duty.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

626332

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
renee caputo

Jackie Hirsh
1895 Lake Ave
Highland Park, IL 60035-3322

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it

back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Jackie Hirsh

Ted Sarver
2124 State Route 8
Yates City, IL 61572-9482

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Ted Sarver

Jacqueline Crow
PO Box 9393
Peoria, IL 61612-9393

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well

(measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Jacqueline Crow

Jamie Nichols
1455 Hunters Run Dr
Bourbonnais, IL 60914-4521

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Jamie Nichols

Nada Finn
3315 Victoria Ln
Waukegan, IL 60087-5346

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater,

our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Nada Finn

Carol Jurczewski
452 Shenstone Rd
Riverside, IL 60546-2032

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

626333

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

NO ENVIRONMENTALLY DAMAGING FRACKING IN ILLINOIS!

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Carol Jurczewski

Patti Brandt

626339

corona rd
Waukegan, IL 60087-4043

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume

626349

hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Patti Brandt

craig figtree
1906 N Bissell St
Chicago, IL 60614-5015

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois

will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
craig figtree

David Butler
2211 Rainbow Vw
Urbana, IL 61802-5621

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of

contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
David Butler

Karen Knack
16230 New Ave
Lemont, IL 60439-3697

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those

existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Karen Knack

Susan Lee
1354 Waukegan Rd
Northbrook, IL 60062-4680

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at

(a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Susan Lee

Sonya Zajczenko
289 W Arden Ln
Round Lake, IL 60073-5672

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Sonya Zajczenko

Diane Fascione
528 Woodbine Ave
Oak Park, IL 60302-1606

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

626346

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Diane Fascione

Bryan Petrulis
7818 Lakeside Dr
Tinley Park, IL 60487-5182

626347

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,

626348

Bryan Petrulis

Courtney Bean
1308 E Mumford Dr
Urbana, IL 61801-6908

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only

626343

begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Courtney Bean

Susan Mitchell
5s646 Charles St
Naperville, IL 60563-3349

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Susan Mitchell

leah geo
3520 Highland Dr
Island Lake, IL 60042-9531

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile

away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
leah geo

Rael Slavensky, RN, MPH, MSN
2 Peppertree Farm
Geneseo, IL 61254-9526

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under

the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Rael Slavensky, RN, MPH, MSN

Margaret Chilton
906 Jefferson St
Pekin, IL 61554-1639

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to

create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Margaret Chilton

John & Barbara Sullivan
2229 N Brighton Pl
Arlington Heights, IL 60004-3349

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
John & Barbara Sullivan

Beth Followell
2001 Bittersweet Dr
Champaign, IL 61821-6369

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

626355

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Beth Followell

Zygmunt Czykieta

626356

2735 N Mobile Ave
Chicago, IL 60639-1021

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume

hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Zygmunt Czykieta

Debbie Owens
38340 N Munn Rd
Lake Villa, IL 60046-8816

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois

will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Debbie Owens

John Peeters
428 S Indiana Ave
Kankakee, IL 60901-5114

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of

contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
John Peeters

Doug Bullock
3416 California Ave
Alton, IL 62002-4123

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those

existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Doug Bullock

Rhonda Konrath
2407 Oak Tree Ln
Plainfield, IL 60586-6106

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at

(a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Rhonda Konrath

Jenny Churchill
1007 S Euclid Ave
Princeton, IL 61356-2527

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Jenny Churchill

John Schwab
12227 Trinidad Ter
Marion, IL 62959-8653

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

626363

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
John Schwab

teresa earp
332 Custer Ave
Evanston, IL 60202-3461

I-21364

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,

626365

teresa earp

William Strong
1927 Norfolk Ave
Westchester, IL 60154-4433

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only

begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
William Strong

Nancy Strickland
204 Kiowa St
Edwardsville, IL 62025-1828

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Nancy Strickland

leo gideon
1308 Elmwood Ave
Evanston, IL 60201-4306

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile

away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
leo gideon

Andrea Jones
2265 Coach and Surrey Ln
Aurora, IL 60506-4409

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under

the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Andrea Jones

Lisa Giannone
1600 W Grove St
Addison, IL 60101-5751

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to

create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Lisa Giannone

Jude Rodriguez
3739 N Sacramento Ave
Chicago, IL 60618-3528

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Jude Rodriguez

Michael Runyon
1207 S Sumner St
Wheaton, IL 60189-6540

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

626372

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Michael Runyon

Johh Heuman

626373

1236 Chicago Ave Apt 405
Evanston, IL 60202-6510

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume

hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Johh Heuman

Patricia Poulin
1169 S Plymouth Ct
Chicago, IL 60605-2062

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois

will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Patricia Poulin

Joanna Klonsky
2119 W Race Ave
Chicago, IL 60612-1509

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of

contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Joanna Klonsky

Nicholas Hartman
4238 9th St
Rock Island, IL 61201-6634

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those

existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Nicholas Hartman

Eileen Zeidman
7555 Kilbourn Ave
Skokie, IL 60076-3843

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at

(a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Eileen Zeidman

Samantha Maffeo
3934 W Estes Ave
Lincolnwood, IL 60712-1034

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Samantha Maffeo

Sarah Palm
95 Apple Orchard Rd
Carbondale, IL 62903-7674

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Sarah Palm

lee kivi
1015 Cedar Ln
Northbrook, IL 60062-3541

026361

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,

626382

lee kivi

Fredrick Whalum
3905 Tower Dr
Richton Park, IL 60471-1341

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only

begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Fredrick Whalum

Cornelius Devlin
213 Old Germantown Rd
East Peoria, IL 61611-1285

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Cornelius Devlin

Jan Sward
2726 180th St
Galesburg, IL 61401-9610

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile

away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Jan Sward

Liz Berry
22 E Cullerton St
Chicago, IL 60616-4885

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Fracking Permit, Not One!

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

As you may already be aware, these new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned

wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Liz Berry

Marie Zajac
1827 W Estes Ave
Chicago, IL 60626-2317

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from

fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Marie Zajac

Dori Cole
68 Sterling Cir Apt 107
Wheaton, IL 60189-2123

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Dori Cole

Christian Gray
939 W Ainslie St # 3e
Chicago, IL 60640-3811

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

626339

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Christian Gray

625339

Ronda White
2308 Halsted Rd
Rockford, IL 61103-2854

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

626331

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Ronda White

Bettina Bronski
4223 N Marmora Ave
Chicago, IL 60634-1738

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed

rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Bettina Bronski

Christine Wawronowicz
2328 Flat Rock Ct
Naperville, IL 60564-4341

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for

fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Christine Wawronowicz

Kristin Garcia
4610 N Dover St
Chicago, IL 60640-4610

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two

respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Kristin Garcia

Candace Davis
1001 N Bridge St
Carbondale, IL 62901-1260

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Candace Davis

K P
1000 wist ct
Naperville, IL 60565

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing

fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
K P

Robert Johnson
1738 N 75th Ct
Elmwood Park, IL 60707-4131

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

626337

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Robert Johnson

Angie Affolter
1650 Winston Ct
Mundelein, IL 60060-3372

626393

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

626339

Sincerely,
Angie Affolter

Carol Weber
212 Middleburg Dr
Sherman, IL 62684-9630

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

626403

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Carol Weber

Daniel Garrison
1228 Simpson St
Evanston, IL 60201-2642

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Daniel Garrison

Carol Weber
212 Middleburg Dr
Sherman, IL 62684-9630

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one

well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Carol Weber

Mark Haeseler
3951 N Paulina St
Chicago, IL 60613-2517

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned

wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Mark Haeseler

Anne Wengerd
204 Murray Dr
Wood Dale, IL 60191-2241

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

I CAN ONLY BELIEVE THAT YOU HAVE BEEN PAID TRAITOR MONIES TO BELIEVE THAT SUCH RULES ARE GOOD FOR US. WHERE DO YOU LIVE? WHAT WATER DO YOU DRINK? SHAME ON YOU ALL FOR EVEN THINKING OF ALLOWING THE GAS & OIL COMPANIES TO DESTROY OUR HABITAT....

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater,

our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Anne Wengerd

Kate Cronin
2300 W Monroe St
Chicago, IL 60612-2928

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

626435

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food &amp: Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Kate Cronin

Melissa Mazias
1620 Arbor Ln Apt 105
Crest Hill, IL 60403-2143

62640R

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

020437

Sincerely,
Melissa Mazias

Barbara Bellin
138 Austin Ave
Carpentersville, IL 60110-1506

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Barbara Bellin

Katen Stanley
30955 N Fairfield Rd
Grayslake, IL 60030-9524

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating

new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Katen Stanley

William Barrett
5048 N Marine Dr
Chicago, IL 60640-3270

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously

inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
William Barrett

Martha Pence
806 Ramblewood Ct
Savoy, IL 61874-6015

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits,

when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

It is time to put our environment and health of our citizens before companies wishing to make a profit at any cost. Especially, when their are other options that do not have a negative impact on our planet.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Martha Pence

Michael Newell
1121 Lake St
Evanston, IL 60201-4127

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater,

our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Michael Newell

Janice Frankel
1819 S Michigan Ave
Chicago, IL 60616-4638

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

626413

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Janice Frankel

Paul Dolinko
911 Echo Ln
Glenview, IL 60025-3327

026414

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

626415

Sincerely,
Paul Dolinko

Chelsay Endres
2106 Village Park Way
Savoy, IL 61874-7420

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Chelsay Endres

char schumann
1020 S Gull Ct
Palatine, IL 60067-7025

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating

new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
char schumann

Cynthia Swanson
1172 Starwood Pass
Lake IN The Hills, IL 60156-4891

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously

inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Cynthia Swanson

Mary Sachs
327 Dawes St
Libertyville, IL 60048-2629

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits,

when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Mary Sachs

Eugene ChinEugeneC
1082 Horizon Dr
Bartlett, IL 60103-1235

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or

abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Eugene ChinEugeneC

Jeff Glidden
1101 S State St
Chicago, IL 60605-3175

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from

hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Jeff Glidden

Lillian Korous
109 Adele Ct
Jacksonville, IL 62650-6561

Dec 3, 2013

626422

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Lillian Korous

626423

Linette George
78 S Lombard Ave
Lombard, IL 60148-2748

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

626424

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Linette George

Lynn Barron
10 W 35th St
Chicago, IL 60616-3717

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it

back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Lynn Barron

Tina Reyes
8241 S 85th Ave
Justice, IL 60458-1724

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Tina Reyes

Daniel Bieniek
114 Glen Hill Dr
Glendale Heights, IL 60139-3039

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well

(measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Daniel Bieniek

Dan Sendlak
3705 Kemman Ave
Brookfield, IL 60513-1520

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Dan Sendlak

Peter Schultz
501 Andrus Rd 501 Andrus Rd
Downers Grove, IL 60516

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater,

our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines. It has happened in other areas.

Companies engaged in fracking elsewhere have shown they are not concerned with the public interest. Their main interest is providing increased profits and any increase in jobs is secondary. These companies wish to keep secret the chemicals used in the process because they are dangerous and contaminants. The argument that the chemicals are proprietary is unacceptable.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Peter Schultz

Leia Norton
2628 W Thomas St
Chicago, IL 60622-5087

026430

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

02o431

Sincerely,
Leia Norton

Donna Vanderhoof
871 Barlina Rd
Crystal Lake, IL 60014-8368

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Donna Vanderhoof

Elizabeth Neighbors
2956 Vigal Rd
Springfield, IL 62712-8970

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating

new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Elizabeth Neighbors

Linda Kruhmin
1422 Greenwood St
Evanston, IL 60201-4053

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously

inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Linda Kruhmin

Janice Rothbaum
2204 S Lynn St
Urbana, IL 61801-6838

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits,

when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Janice Rothbaum

Ryan Hamm
510 Crossing Ct
Rolling Meadows, IL 60008-2127

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or

abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Ryan Hamm

Robert E. Lerner
2733 Thayer St
Evanston, IL 60201-1344

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from

hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Robert E. Lerner

John Hemmer
4045 Dixon Dr
Hoffman Estates, IL 60192-1428

Dec 3, 2013

626433

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
John Hemmer

626439

Ceridwen Hall
1209 E Florida Ave
Urbana, IL 61801-6083

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

626447

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Ceridwen Hall

jan Daker
13 Forest Glen Dr
Belleville, IL 62223-1874

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it

back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
jan Daker

Michael Lahey
1504 W Farwell Ave
Chicago, IL 60626-3606

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Michael Lahey

Tony Gray
155 N Harbor Dr
Chicago, IL 60601-7364

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well

(measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Tony Gray

James Boots
509 W American St
Freeport, IL 61032-5415

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
James Boots

Karen Peterson
735 York Ct
Northbrook, IL 60062-3954

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater,

our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Karen Peterson

Martin deBoer
2333 Hastings Ave
Evanston, IL 60201-1847

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

626446

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Martin deBoer

Barbara Gelman
4907 Shady Oaks Ln
Crystal Lake, IL 60012-1926

626447

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

626443

Sincerely,
Barbara Gelman

Laura Moore
16419 Borio Dr
Crest Hill, IL 60403-0772

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

626443

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Laura Moore

Mary Laing
714 Brummel St
Evanston, IL 60202-6029

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating

new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Mary Laing

Kay Diblik
27w126 Jewell Rd
Winfield, IL 60190-1347

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously

inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Kay Diblik

Phil Young
6702 Double Eagle Dr Apt 207
Woodridge, IL 60517-5442

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits,

when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Phil Young

Anah McMahon
1000 Geneva Rd
St Charles, IL 60174-4251

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or

abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Anah McMahon

Michael Reich
1916 Deere Ln
Glendale Heights, IL 60139-1976

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from

hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Michael Reich

Vincent Marneffe
rue du Brocsous 73
Dion-Valmont, IL 01325

Dec 3, 2013

626455

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Vincent Marneffe

026456

Heather Ruhnke
1609 N Center St
Crest Hill, IL 60403-2631

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

626457

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Heather Ruhnke

Steven Darnall
4923 N Oakley Ave
Chicago, IL 60625-1925

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it

back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Steven Darnall

Keith Peebles
14367 Creek Crossing Dr
Orland Park, IL 60467-7164

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Keith Peebles

Keith Peebles
14367 Creek Crossing Dr
Orland Park, IL 60467-7164

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well

(measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Keith Peebles

Catherine Dubis
44 159th St
Calumet City, IL 60409-5301

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Catherine Dubis

Mark Lickerman
712 W Diversey Pkwy
Chicago, IL 60614-6222

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These nepw rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater,

our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Mark Lickerman

Leah Duling
1231 Ivywood Dr
Springfield, IL 62704-4392

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

626463

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Leah Duling

Holly Gietl
1209 W Edwards St
Springfield, IL 62704-1634

626464

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

626465

Sincerely,
Holly Gietl

Ed Kucera
PO Box 386
Western Springs, IL 60558-0386

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Ed Kucera

Lana Bateman
2107 10th St
Silvis, IL 61282-2015

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating

new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Lana Bateman

Georgia Libbares
505 N McClurg Ct
Chicago, IL 60611-5420

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously

inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Georgia Libbares

Emily Jones
645 Morris Ct
Lakemoor, IL 60051-8630

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits,

when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Emily Jones

Dorothy Lane
2776 Whitlock Dr
Darien, IL 60561-1789

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or

hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
alex vazquez

Nick Chrisos
1830 N Windsor Dr
Arlington Heights, IL 60004-4036

Dec 3, 2013

626471

abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Dorothy Lane

alex vazquez
6033 N Sheridan Rd
Chicago, IL 60660-3003

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Nick Chrisos

625473

mark novotny
5413 6th Ave
Countryside, IL 60525-3617

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

626474

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
mark novotny

Stephen Reid
299 Quinlan Ave
Dekalb, IL 60115-8204

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it

back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Stephen Reid

Janet Shifflet
2112 East Ave
Berwyn, IL 60402-1953

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Janet Shifflet

Greg Hardigan
2737 W 16th St
Chicago, IL 60608-1736

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well

(measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Greg Hardigan

laura Wieclawek
2642 N Windsor Dr
Arlington Heights, IL 60004-2746

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
laura Wieclawek

Linda Phillips
523 E Lincoln St
Mount Prospect, IL 60056-3329

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater,

our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Linda Phillips

Rafael Albarran
7513f Savoy Ln
Bridgeview, IL 60455-5501

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

626480

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Rafael Albarran

Dan Lara
7539 N Ridge Blvd Unit 3
Chicago, IL 60645-1971

626481

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

626482

Sincerely,
Dan Lara

Patricia Oleson
372 E Greenwood St
Morton, IL 61550-2556

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Patricia Oleson

Bruce Rhoades
4509 Saratoga Ave
Downers Grove, IL 60515-2753

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating

new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Bruce Rhoades

Caroline Mead
728 Carriage Hill Dr
Glenview, IL 60025-5404

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously

inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Caroline Mead

rick canning
548 S Randall Rd
Aurora, IL 60506-5381

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits,

when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
rick canning

Amy Andersen
21233 W Creekside Dr
Kildeer, IL 60047-7847

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or

abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Amy Andersen

Hayley Harbert
529 S Main St
Taylorville, IL 62568-2534

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from

hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Hayley Harbert

Bobby Salter
8116 S Francisco Ave
Chicago, IL 60652-2706

Dec 3, 2013

626489

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Bobby Salter

626499

Norine Leithem
1330 Slater St
Sugar Grove, IL 60554-9823

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Norine Leithem

Carol Shepard
1200 Kaolin Rd
Cobden, IL 62920-3751

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it

back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Carol Shepard

Christy Kurtz
841 Prairie Ave
Bartlett, IL 60103-5079

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Christy Kurtz

Stephen Penton
7 Oak Terrace Ct
Batavia, IL 60510-1302

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well

(measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

Water and some other resources may be just about irreplaceable, we should very careful the way they are taken care of. If we trash these resources we may be very sorry in the future.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Stephen Penton

Siv Ferguson
22w134 Butterfield Rd
Glen Ellyn, IL 60137-7106

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or

abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Siv Ferguson

Tom Shepherd
11319 S Langley Ave
Chicago, IL 60628-5125

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from

hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Tom Shepherd

Tom Boyle
1479 W Remington Ln
Round Lake, IL 60073-2387

Dec 3, 2013

626497

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Tom Boyle

026435

Judith Frederick
3144 Bellwood Ln
Glenview, IL 60026-1524

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

626493

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Judith Frederick

Cara Witkowski
2772 Capri Ct
Rockford, IL 61114-6410

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it

back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Cara Witkowski

russ frillman
16737 W Aptakisic Rd
Prairieview, IL 60069-4108

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
russ frillman

Deb Goodman
922 North Blvd
Oak Park, IL 60301-1252

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well

(measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Deb Goodman

Sonja Chan
944 W Walnut St
Kankakee, IL 60901-4645

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Sonja Chan

Dariusz Mazur
703 Beaver Ct
Naperville, IL 60563-9781

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater,

our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Dariusz Mazur

lela summers
RR 1 Box 212a
Neoga, IL 62447-9611

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

626535

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
lela summers

John Lyman
1236 Chicago Ave
Evanston, IL 60202-6509

6265.6

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

626507

Sincerely,
John Lyman

Mary Condon
1715 Forest Ave
Wilmette, IL 60091-1531

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

We live in a world where we can control many health variables. Pollution and Climate Change are two we seem to have the least amount of ability to counteract. The only levers we possess is to decrease pollution, mimimize gas output and control chemical waste. I urge you to utilize the few levers we have to foster a healthy living planet and mimimize fracking and it's dangerous side effects.

The new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines. These types of effects are counterproductive to a healthy living environment.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that

626508

widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Mary Condon

Donna Hayes
401 N Congress Ave
Polo, IL 61064-1306

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock

formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Donna Hayes

Jan Tervydis
113 W Linden St Apt 2
Edwardsville, IL 62025-2000

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and

grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Jan Tervydis

Jeff Kreml
205 Lake St
Libertyville, IL 60048-1850

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Jeff Kreml

Kathleen Kennedy
1332 E Rosita Dr
Palatine, IL 60074-5602

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed

rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Kathleen Kennedy

debra stamp
PO Box 292
Millstadt, IL 62260-0292

Dec 3, 2013

626513

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
debra stamp

626514

gary lofgren
6700 S Brainard Ave
Countryside, IL 60525-4671

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an

626515

outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
gary lofgren

Elvira Kirch
9311 Conestoga Trl
Marengo, IL 60152-9545

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and

fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Elvira Kirch

Victor Skade
8420 Greenview Ave
Brookfield, IL 60513-1711

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Victor Skade

Gene Majewski
209 E Potter St
Wood Dale, IL 60191-2027

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits

on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Gene Majewski

Juleigh Snell
1783 Storey Ln
Alton, IL 62002-7372

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can

flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Juleigh Snell

Victor Ramirez
5049 Brummel St
Skokie, IL 60077-2815

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

626529

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Victor Ramirez

Alexndra Beris
1555 Eagle Ridge Dr
Antioch, IL 60002-8890

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

626521

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Alexndra Beris

Mary Neubecker
1104 Carol St

626522

Downers Grove, IL 60516-2846

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and

well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Mary Neubecker

Roger Rynke
2415 2nd St
Peru, IL 61354-3101

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence

of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Roger Rynke

Al Korzonas
13535 S Chippewa Trl
Homer Glen, IL 60491-9646

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Reasonable regulations

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

Please ignore the above paragraph... I didn't write it, but cannot get rid of it.

This is the text of my letter:

I support fracking as long as there are regulations in place that make certain that casings are installed properly and do not leak. Furthermore, I believe that there should also be regulations that prohibit the storage of wastewater in unlined ponds.

Please don't be swayed by the well-intentioned, but misguided environmentalists or by the anti-corporatists masquerading as environmentalists.

Please ignore the paragraph below... I didn't write it, but I cannot get rid of it.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Al Korzonas

Kathy Houda
25599 N Canyon Creek Ct
Barrington, IL 60010-1308

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

626526

Sincerely,
Kathy Houda

Byron Dale
5765 Capetown Ave
Rockford, IL 61108-6710

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

626527

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Byron Dale

Tamara Foiles
1918 Main St
Alton, IL 62002-4726

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating

new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Tamara Foiles

J. Beverly
803 Shurts St
Urbana, IL 61801-6858

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously

inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
J. Beverly

Steve Johnston
930 Prospect St
Dekalb, IL 60115-4269

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits,

when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

Is the resource going to go somwhere if we wait until we develop a safe way to extract it?

PLEASE don't allow greedy gluttons to destroy our land to sell our energy to other countries!

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Steve Johnston

jack schranz
11024 Daniel Trl
Mokena, IL 60448-1003

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
jack schranz

John Neisewander
1316 28th St
Rockford, IL 61108-3638

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
John Neisewander

Katherine Sutton

1229 Western Ave
Northbrook, IL 60062-4459

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume

hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Katherine Sutton

Richard Penner
532 Rosebud Dr N
Lombard, IL 60148-6165

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois

will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Richard Penner

Nancy L Cowger
1538 Heather Ct
Wheeling, IL 60090-5273

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food &amp: Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of

contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Nancy L Cowger

Terri Hanlin
726 S 19th St
Quincy, IL 62301-5122

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those

existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Terri Hanlin

Alan Langrall
1611 Bensington Ct
Normal, IL 61761-4811

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at

(a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Alan Langrall

Seth Crackel
139 School St
Geneva, IL 60134-2331

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Seth Crackel

Mary Ryk
1607 Westminster Dr Apt 111
Naperville, IL 60563-9224

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Mary Ryk

Martin Kluczewski
836 E Old Willow Rd
Prospect Hts, IL 60070-2163

026541

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as &quot:fracking.&quot:

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,

Martin Kluczewski

Jason Sherman
427 N Harvey Ave
Oak Park, IL 60302-2336

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only

begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Jason Sherman

Raymond Leszczynski
4945 N Octavia Ave
Harwood Heights, IL 60706-3522

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Raymond Leszczynski

Raymond Leszczynski
4945 N Octavia Ave
Harwood Heights, IL 60706-3522

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile

away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Raymond Leszczynski

Mark Grotzke
18136 Rita Rd
Tinley Park, IL 60477-6453

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under

the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Mark Grotzke

Danny Shoemaker
107 E 9th St # 2b
Lockport, IL 60441-3401

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to

create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Danny Shoemaker

Judith Goyak
1026 McClellan St
Hillsboro, IL 62049-1525

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Judith Goyak

Dan Madden
146 S Plum Grove Rd
Palatine, IL 60067-6245

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

626549

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Dan Madden

Beth Raynis

026559

1537 Warbler Dr
Naperville, IL 60565-1313

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume

hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Beth Raynis

Serena Bernstein
917 Elmwood Ave
Evanston, IL 60202-1710

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois

will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Serena Bernstein

Oleh Sydor
3s306 Juniper Ln
Glen Ellyn, IL 60137-7418

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

Your new "rules" concerning the dangerous and unnecessary gas-retrieval method called fracking, might as well have been written by the rapacious gas and oil industries. These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking. Look at the harm already caused by fracking to citizens and communities from Texas and Colorado to Pennsylvania and New York. We don't need fracking ! Ban it now !

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Oleh Sydor

Karen O'Brien
125 W 66th St
Westmont, IL 60559-3259

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned

wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Karen O'Brien

Marilyn Davis
1222 Dewey Ave
Evanston, IL 60202-1123

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from

fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Fracking should be banned!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Marilyn Davis

Wm O'Donnell
5525 N Winthrop Ave Apt 302
Chicago, IL 60640-1403

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed

rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Wm O'Donnell

Adelaide Kern
308 Richard St
Henry, IL 61537-1231

Dec 3, 2013

626537

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Adelaide Kern

robert mar
227 Walker Ave
Clarendon Hills, IL 60514-1347

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an

outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
robert mar

Penelope Rajczyk
1022 Dewey Ave
Evanston, IL 60202-1149

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and

fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Penelope Rajczyk

Huts Erickson
6236 N Talisman Ter
Peoria, IL 61615-2749

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Huts Erickson

Stephen Gliva
713 Mulford St Unit 1a
Evanston, IL 60202-3411

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits

on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Stephen Gliva

Lloyd Smith
503 N Washington St
Atkinson, IL 61235-9719

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can

flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Lloyd Smith

Jeanne Doherty
3434 N Nottingham Ave
Chicago, IL 60634-3638

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Jeanne Doherty

Kevin Brewner
6n265 Old Homestead Rd
St Charles, IL 60175-6130

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

626565

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

NO FRACKING EVER. Under any circumstances.

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Kevin Brewner

tracy wargel
PO Box 5
Ridgway, IL 62979-0005

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
tracy wargel

Karen Komperda
624 Breakers Pt
Schaumburg, IL 60194-3606

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed

rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Karen Komperda

John Mccarthy
1017 Oakwood Dr
Westmont, IL 60559-1039

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for

fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
John Mccarthy

Ken Irwin
3801 Appian Way
Glenview, IL 60025-3871

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two

respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Ken Irwin

Mark Pasquesi
143 Elm St
Glenview, IL 60025-4906

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Mark Pasquesi

Matt Doherty
305 E John St
Champaign, IL 61820-8104

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing

fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Matt Doherty

Joseph Naidnur
3s402 Saddle Ridge Ct
Warrenville, IL 60555-4042

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

626573

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Joseph Naidnur

Anthony Vaiarella
1011 19th St
Rockford, IL 61104-3429

626574

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines. This could lead to the eventual forced abandonment of the entire state of Illinois due to lack of clean freshwater.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and

well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Anthony Vaiarella

A.G. Hansen
4949 141st St
Crestwood, IL 60445-2103

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence

of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
A.G. Hansen

Emily Smitti
4428 42nd Ave
Rock Island, IL 61201-7117

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that

widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Emily Smitti

Alexandra Sweitzer
1401 Chestnut Xing
Lemont, IL 60439-7488

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock

formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Alexandra Sweitzer

Harley Doss
719 N Court St Apt 212
Rockford, IL 61103-6964

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and

grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Harley Doss

Freida Ward
21272 W Burr Oak Ln
Lake Zurich, IL 60047-8807

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Freida Ward

Barbara Zimmerman
9640 N Girl Scout Rd
Stillman Valley, IL 61084-9774

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed

rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Barbara Zimmerman

Heather La Riviere
5406 S University Ave
Chicago, IL 60615-5108

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Heather La Riviere

0026393

Karen Flusty
1285 S Lawler Ave
Lombard, IL 60148-4265

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an

outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Karen Tlusty

Rebecca LaGesse
26 Woodland Ave
Elgin, IL 60123-5314

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and

fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Rebecca LaGesse

Carol Reitan
2025 E Lincoln St Apt 1216
Bloomington, IL 61701-5995

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These rules promote rather than regulate fracking. Your Dept. is supposed to see that the air and water --and the air and water as well as other natural assests--are here in good order for the future. With all the development of alternative energy as well as the conservation practices being put in place we do not need to allow any fracking to take place. None of us know the underground systems that may be .disturbed when we do not need the oil from them. Some companies want to make money by fracking but we cannot let them just because they can make a buck--they think but that oil will be costly.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Putting it back in the earth is hardly an adequate answer. Regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such underground disposal.

DO) NOT ALLOW FRACKING IN ILLINOIS---LET US BE AHEAD IN THIS RATHER THAN AT THE BACK OF THE LINE OF STATES AS WE ARE IN SEVERAL OTHER AREAS.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Carol Reitan

Debra Gleason
5700 W Grace St
Chicago, IL 60634-2651

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

626567

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Debra Gleason

Gerald Vertrees
36249 200th Ave
Pleasant Hill, IL 62366-2452

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Gerald Vertrees

Stephen Komistra
1295 Acorn Ct
Sleepy Hollow, IL 60118-2654

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one

well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Stephen Komistra

S. Moy
501 W 24th Pl
Chicago, IL 60616-1869

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned

wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
S. Moy

Paula Johnson
604 Broadview Ave
Highland Park, IL 60035-4802

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from

fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Paula Johnson

Linda Brown
608 Cherrywood Dr
North Aurora, IL 60542-1032

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Linda Brown

Arturo Carrera
555 N Mount Prospect Rd
Des Plaines, IL 60016-2028

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

026333

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Arturo Carrera

Sasha Fairow
912 N 2nd St
Pekin, IL 61554-2713

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

626395

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Sasha Fairow

Linda buczyna
2041 W Haddon Ave
Chicago, IL 60622-3605

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed

rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Linda buczyna

Jack Spicer
5536 S Kimbark Ave
Chicago, IL 60637-1619

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for

fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Jack Spicer

C. Pearson
Timberline
West Chicago, IL 60815-1348

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two

respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
C. Pearson

Linda Querry
2913 Rutherford Dr
Urbana, IL 61802-9401

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Linda Querry

Wendy Carranza
5028 N Monticello Ave
Chicago, IL 60625-5522

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing

fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Wendy Carranza

Anthony Gibson
6710 S Claremont Ave Apt 107
Chicago, IL 60636-2436

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Anthony Gibson

NELSON FLUKER
18005 Michael Ave
Country Club Hills, IL 60478-5021

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food &amp: Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
NELSON FLUKER

Martin Jordan
11070 Campbell Cemetary Rd
Loami, IL 62661-3155

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Martin Jordan

Ann Davidson
4217 N Ashland Ave
Chicago, IL 60613-1251

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as &quot:fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Ann Davidson

Ann Inendino
2313 N 76th Ct
Elmwood Park, IL 60707-3029

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one

well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Ann Inendino

laurence buckingham
7450 N Washtenaw Ave
Chicago, IL 60645-1437

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned

wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
laurence buckingham

James Stuhlmacher
1580 N Blanchard Ct
Wheaton, IL 60187-3761

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Fracking Regulations

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from

fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
James Stuhlmacher

Marie Quinn
304 Stewart Ave
Waukegan, IL 60085-2062

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Marie Quinn

HenryRY Sienkiewicz
6718 S Kedvale Ave
Chicago, IL 60629-5709

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

626610

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
HenryRY Sienkiewicz

Holly Andrews
513 Ashby Dr
Charleston, IL 61920-3214

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

626612

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Holly Andrews

Robert Bacon
2065 Hickory Ln
Schaumburg, IL 60195-1925

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed

rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Robert Bacon

Kimberly Camacho
608 E Hennepin Street
Mark, IL 61340

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for

fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Kimberly Camacho

Rick David
2309w W Greenleaf Ave
Chicago, IL 60645-3419

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two

respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Rick David

Janet Stephens
623 Sexton St
Aurora, IL 60505-4763

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Janet Stephens

Juan Alvarez
2035 W Haddon Ave # 1
Chicago, IL 60622-3605

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing

fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Juan Alvarez

Janet Simpson
2611 Pebblebrook Ln
Rolling Meadows, IL 60008-2165

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

626618

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Janet Simpson

Ann Moro
1415 Greenview Ct
Schaumburg, IL 60193-4950

626613

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch.

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

626620

Sincerely,
Ann Moro

Karen Sewick
4133 Saratoga Ave
Downers Grove, IL 60515-2064

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

020021

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Karen Sewick

John Hockman
14722 Estonian Ln
Riverwoods, IL 60015-3553

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
John Hockman

Michael Nelson
PO Box 95
Viola, IL 61486-0095

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one

well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Michael Nelson

Raymond J Strauss
3404 Steeplechase Way
Grayslake, IL 60030-9344

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: NO to FRACKING

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

Hydraulic Fracturing has a dismal track record. Once a pocket of ground water is affected, it becomes permanently contaminated by toxic chemicals and the surrounding area becomes unfit for human habitation. On behalf of my fellow citizens, I urge your department to block this destructive practice in our state.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Raymond J Strauss

Peter M.
680 W Bel Aire Ln
Mount Prospect, IL 60056-4225

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

626625

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Peter M.

Therese Hancock
1581 Orchid St
Yorkville, IL 60560-3067

Dec 3, 2013

Food &amp: Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as &quot:fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed

rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Therese Hancock

Mair McNamara
421 W Oakwood Dr
Barrington, IL 60010-1481

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for

fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Mair McNamara

Kathleen D'Alessandro
5716 N Virginia Ave
Chicago, IL 60659-3719

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two

respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Kathleen D'Alessandro

Patricia Collins
860 Summit St
Winnetka, IL 60093-1931

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Patricia Collins

Mary meyers
12341 Teluride Ln
Mokena, IL 60448-1924

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

In a few words, I want to say that I am totally opposed to fracking.
We have so many chemicals in our air and water now that are hazardous

to our health that we don't need anymore. Fracking has a very poor track record, we don't need it in Illinois.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Mary meyers

Dennis McGee
1424 N Ridgeway Ave
Chicago, IL 60651-2159

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Dennis McGee

Rae Trine
1892 Candlelight Cir
Montgomery, IL 60538-3503

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile

away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Rae Trine

Wojciech Zi?ba
os. Batorego 43
Poznan, Poland, IL 60687

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under

the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Wojciech Zi?ba

William Neill
923 W Altgeld St Apt 3
Chicago, IL 60614-2968

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to

create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
William Neill

Helen Edwards
RR 1 Box 164
White Hall, IL 62092-9717

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Helen Edwards

Caryn Kubica
740 Ashley Ct
Hoffman Estates, IL 60169-4321

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

026036

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Caryn Kubica

K Collins

PO Box 471
Edinburg, IL 62531-0471

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume

hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
K Collins

hayley gallagher
2238 W Iowa St
Chicago, IL 60622-4843

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois

will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
hayley gallagher

Deborah Styka
4n165 Wood Dale Rd
Addison, IL 60101-2939

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of

contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Deborah Styka

Matthew Shapiro
925 Greenleaf Ave
Wilmette, IL 60091-2756

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those

existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Matthew Shapiro

Merle Felder
4230 N Northbrook Ct
Peoria, IL 61614-6737

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at

(a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Merle Felder

Mirl Whitaker
2601 Montvale Dr Apt 408
Springfield, IL 62704-4273

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Mirl Whitaker

Nancy Lutz
19 Apple Ln
Park Forest, IL 60466-2054

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as &quot:fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Nancy Lutz

Michael Garvey
2623 N Hamlin Ave # 2
Chicago, IL 60647-1009

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

I think that you could equate fracking (even the word is offensive.) to sticking a needle in your mother's arm and somehow drag it along through tissue, muscle, ligaments, etc...trying to find healthy blood deposits. It is the same. And just because you can't hear the screaming, doesn't mean that the earth is not screaming to save her life.
You all no this is an apt description. And I know that deep down within yourselves you are morbidly ashamed. End the practice completely.

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Michael Garvey

Kathy Ruopp
9631 S Vanderpoel Ave
Chicago, IL 60643-1229

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Kathy Ruopp

Jason Shadday
129 E Bellevue Pl Apt 1101
Chicago, IL 60611-5308

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one

well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Jason Shadday

Therese MacKenzie
7040 N Sheridan Rd Apt 503
Chicago, IL 60626-2947

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

I do realize that Illinois needs energy and jobs. But do we not need clear water for the future? Do we not need an atmosphere with less carbon and methane?

Why would not Illinois invest in renewable energy, thus giving us energy and jobs minus the pollution, noise, and use of resources required by fracking? The new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

Do you have children and grandchildren? If not, others do. Please think several generations forward, and do not be misled by immediate profits. They won't be able to buy healthy water, soil, and air when the

generations need them.

Multiple examples prove that fracking is not safe. Anyone who thinks it is has not read the research, but been fooled by corporate propaganda.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

The rules are inadequate at best. If nothing else, please delay action on drilling wells until you have more information about the dangers.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Therese MacKenzie

Dan Drennan
7444 N Claremont Ave
Chicago, IL 60645-1704

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock

formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Dan Drennan

Wendy Messenger
28153 N Hickory Ln
Wauconda, IL 60084-1321

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and

grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Wendy Messenger

Marilyn Francois
1449 W Pensacola Ave
Chicago, IL 60613-1307

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Marilyn Francois

Joyce Good
4915 N Avers Ave
Chicago, IL 60625-1298

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed

rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

RADIOACTIVE WASTE FROM THE SHALE WILL COME TO THE SURFACE WITH THE PRODUCED WATER. THE RULES DO NOT ADDRESS THESE RADIOACTIVE LIQUID AND SOLID WASTES. THE TOP CONTAMINANT FOR RADIOACTIVITY IN RADIUM 226 - WHICH WILL COMPRISE MUCH OF THE RADIATION, IS 5 PICO CURIES PER LITER OR GRAM.THE MARCELLUS SHALE HAS SHOWN WASTE WITH AS MUCH AS 16,000 PICOCURIES PER LITER OR GRAM. THE NEW ALBANY SHALE IS SAID TO BE MORE RADIOACTIVE THAN THE MARCELLUS SHALE. WE NEED PROTECTIVE RULES STATING WHERE WE PLACE THE WASTES SO AS NOT TO ENDANGER THE PEOPLE OF ILLINOIS. THIS RULES ARE MISSING.

NO FRACKING UNTIL WE HAVE PROTECTIVE RULES!

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and

well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Joyce Good

Carol Fox
8902 N Wisner St Apt 2e
Niles, IL 60714-1753

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence

of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Carol Fox

Lana Night
390 W Mahogany Ct Unit 407
Palatine, IL 60067-7802

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that

widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Lana Night

Phillip Penton
602 Cornelia St
Joliet, IL 60435-5910

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock

formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Phillip Penton

Chris Hayes
2336 jason dr
Montgomery, IL 60538

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and

grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Chris Hayes

john gravenor
6903 Hillcrest Dr
Crystal Lake, IL 60012-3217

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
john gravenor

S. McHugh
429 N 7th St
Dekalb, IL 60115-3417

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed

rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
S. McHugh

Keith Klingeman
2507 Bordeaux Ln Apt 105
Naperville, IL 60540-1838

Dec 3, 2013

IL26661

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Keith Klingeman

Julia Wade
1112 N Walnut Ave
Arlington Heights, IL 60004-4631

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an

outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Julia Wade

Richard Casino
5616 N Kenmore Ave
Chicago, IL 60660-4606

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and

fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Richard Casino

Gerald McNellis
113 N Barton Trl
Batavia, IL 60510-7635

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Gerald McNellis

Janice Barnett
54 N Du Bois Ave
Elgin, IL 60123-5216

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits

on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Janice Barnett

qiyuan zhou
777 Inland Cir
Naperville, IL 60563-0206

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can

flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
qiyuan zhou

Thomas Goodrich
322 Oakwood St
Park Forest, IL 60466-2130

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Thomas Goodrich

Rick Anderson
5701 N Sheridan Rd
Apt 18o
Chicago, IL 60660-4716

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

626669

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Rick Anderson

Linda Fitch

10018 S Honan Ave
Evergreen Park, IL 60805-3409

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume

626671

hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Linda Fitch

L.S. Wanner
1186 N 1500 East Rd
Milford, IL 60953-6238

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois

will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
L.S. Wanner

Anthony Aleman
PO Box 341
Quincy, IL 62306-0341

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of

contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Anthony Aleman

Linda McCarthy
18316 Maple St
Lansing, IL 60438-3370

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those

existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Linda McCarthy

Steve LeHew
425 S Maple St
Palatine, IL 60067-6847

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at

(a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Steve LeHew

mary hanley
436 Selborne Rd
Riverside, IL 60546-1627

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
mary hanley

David Kunstman
2912 N Damen Ave
Chicago, IL 60618-8206

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

626677

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
David Kunstman

Suzanne Imaz
805 W Belle Plaine Ave # 203
Chicago, IL 60613-2108

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,

Suzanne Imaz

Connie Machina
25385 White Tail Tree
Lexington, IL 61753-7556

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only

begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Connie Machina

Earl Gum Jr
317 Whistling Strait
Washington, IL 61571-4028

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Earl Gum Jr

Kim Rice
1860 W Surrey Park Ln
Arlington Heights, IL 60005-3474

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile

away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Kim Rice

Carla Burke
2105 Prentiss Dr Apt 206
Downers Grove, IL 60516-2283

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under

the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Carla Burke

Daniel Prendergast
5621 S Kolmar Ave
Chicago, IL 60629-5307

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to

create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Daniel Prendergast

Bob Lichtenbert
5307 W Nelson St
Chicago, IL 60641-4954

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Bob Lichtenbert

Marcene Schwarz
34435 N Old Walnut Cir
Gurnee, IL 60031-4291

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Marcene Schwarz

Lyn Swanson

115 S Wisconsin Ave
Villa Park, IL 60181-2551

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume

hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Lyn Swanson

Mary Eliades
2130 Forestview Rd
Evanston, IL 60201-2008

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois

will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

I can't express how against fracking I am. I see only terrible things occurring if we frack, oil spills, earthquakes, contamination of water to name just a few. Nothing good will come of allowing fracking and a lot of bad things could happen with no way to turn back the clock..

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Mary Eliades

gerald antich
226 Charlestown Dr
Bolingbrook, IL 60440-1370

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one

well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
gerald antich

JD McKibben
167 N Humphrey Ave
Oak Park, IL 60302-2513

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned

wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
JD McKibben

Carol Stark
230 E 6th St
Lockport, IL 60441-3012

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from

fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Carol Stark

Jenifer Hartman
1603 Imperial Dr
Glenview, IL 60026-1543

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Jenifer Hartman

Lynn hasselberger
292 Kimberly Rd
North Barrington, IL 60010-2139

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

626634

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Lynn hasselberger

Janet Neal
118 N Chapel St
Waukegan, IL 60085-4120

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Janet Neal

Janet Neal
118 N Chapel St
Waukegan, IL 60085-4120

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed

rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Janet Neal

Donna Barrett
250 Manor Dr
Buffalo Grove, IL 60089-2416

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for

fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Donna Barrett

Gina Redig
5121 S University Ave
Chicago, IL 60615-3907

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two

respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Gina Redig

Leslie Cohen
3011 Hartzell St
Wilmette, IL 60091-2958

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Leslie Cohen

Robert Zill
11436 75th St
Burr Ridge, IL 60527-7708

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing

fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Robert Zill

W. D.
2
chicago, IL 60614-2109

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
W. D.

greg tunison
608s.19th st
herrin, IL 62948

626733

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
greg tunison

Brad Hulick
PO Box 705
Tolono, IL 61880-0705

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Brad Hulick

Bobby Vaughn Jr.
40 Lois Ln Apt 2a
Metropolis, IL 62960-2503

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Bobby Vaughn Jr.

Christopher Lee
1343 W Touhy Ave Apt 2s
Chicago, IL 60626-2676

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one

well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Christopher Lee

LaShawn Yancey
501 E 32nd St Apt 506
Chicago, IL 60616-4016

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned

wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
LaShawn Yancey

William Kroll
920 Pleasant Ave
Highland Park, IL 60035-4616

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from

fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
William Kroll

William Kroll
920 Pleasant Ave
Highland Park, IL 60035-4616

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
William Kroll

Lawrence Sullivan
9410 Corsair Rd
Frankfort, IL 60423-2527

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

626711

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Lawrence Sullivan

626712

K D.
3
chicago, IL 60618-8177

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

626713

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
K D.

Jake Hawkes
65 Medowlark
Springfield, IL 62702

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed

rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Jake Hawkes

Rich Bebak
350 Wethington Dr
Wauconda, IL 60084-1598

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for

fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Rich Bebak

Marilyn Sieck
13963 Redmond Dr
Huntley, IL 60142-6389

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two

respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking. It is past time for us to start taking care of our planet, instead of destroying it!

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Marilyn Sieck

Nancy & Vernon Wedow
228 N Middleton Ave
Palatine, IL 60067-4856

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

626717

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Nancy & Vernon Wedow

Taylor Novak
230 Thomas St
Park Forest, IL 60466-1127

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater,

our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Taylor Novak

Marla Espeseth
3815 N Oakley Ave Unit 1
Chicago, IL 60618-3813

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

6,267 19

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food &amp: Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Marla Espeseth

Christopher Riff
2930 N Commonwealth Ave Apt
404

626720

Chicago, IL 60657-6220

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and

well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Christopher Riff

J F
4
Decatur, IL 62526

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence

of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
J F

Stephen Anderson
1000 Springfield Ave
Deerfield, IL 60015-3031

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that

widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Stephen Anderson

GLORIA JARAPKO
140 Fernwood Ln
Bloomingdale, IL 60108-2523

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock

formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
GLORIA JARAPKO

Helen Seiler
1500 Chapel Ct
Northbrook, IL 60062-4650

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and

grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Helen Seiler

Mia Fiore
108 Country Ct
Bolingbrook, IL 60440-1570

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Mia Fiore

Elenita Hinds
Sandy Ln
Libertyville, IL 60048

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed

rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Elenita Hinds

Sheila Sheehan
765 Victoria Dr
Woodstock, IL 60098-2398

Dec 3, 2013

D26725

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Sheila Sheehan

626729

Carol Johnson
28w141 Liberty St
Winfield, IL 60190-1955

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an

626739

fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
James Alstrum

Linda Moorman
3510 S Rhodes Ave
Chicago, IL 60653-1277

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Sheila Sheehan

020732

Carol Johnson
28w141 Liberty St
Winfield, IL 60190-1955

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an

626733

outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Carol Johnson

James Alstrum
809 N School St
Normal, IL 61761-1328

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and

fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
James Alstrum

Linda Moorman
3510 S Rhodes Ave
Chicago, IL 60653-1277

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Linda Moorman

Patricia King
324 Prairie St
Braidwood, IL 60408-2091

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits

on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Patricia King

Steven McKnight
6555 Prairie St
Garden Prairie, IL 61038-9476

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can

flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. This regulation is definitely inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away.

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Steven McKnight

Claudia McCarthy
114 South Blvd
Evanston, IL 60202-3108

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to

create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Claudia McCarthy

Yvette Richey
1438 E Lake Ave
Peoria, IL 61614-7802

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Yvette Richey

Karina Pavlova
coventry
Cir, IL 60061

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

626740

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Karina Pavlova

Deb Christensen

020741

191 W Adams St
Manteno, IL 60950-1439

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume

626742

hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Deb Christensen

Sarah Joyner
1648 Carol St
Downers Grove, IL 60515-1863

Dec 3, 2013

Food &amp: Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois

will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Sarah Joyner

E D.
4
chicago, IL 60611-2109

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of

contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
E D.

James Dully
205 Dogwood Ln
Pekin, IL 61554-7409

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those

existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
James Dully

Karen Snell
309 Shady Ln
Downers Grove, IL 60515-2202

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at

(a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Karen Snell

Melanie Cornish
5613 Cardinal Dr
Waterloo, IL 62298-3255

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

How can you not see that contaminating any of our wayer is detrimental to the life of our planet and the future of humanity?

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essGential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Melanie Cornish

Aaron Thompson
645 N Kingsbury St
Chicago, IL 60654-6940

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

626748

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Aaron Thompson

Robert Urnikis
14622 S Arboretum Dr

026749

Homer Glen, IL 60491-9330

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and

well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Robert Urnikis

Julie Kralik-Welton
584 Sycamore Cir
Wauconda, IL 60084-2379

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food &amp: Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence

of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Julie Kralik-Welton

Reinessa Neuhalfen
909 Sherman Ave Apt 103
Evanston, IL 60202-1732

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

I cannot believe that we are going to go ahead and let the frackers do whatever they want in Illinois. Why is it okay to poison Illinois like this, dear IDNR? Please just ban fracking in this state!

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Reinessa Neuhalfen

Jeffrey Courter
2844 Alexander Cres
Flossmoor, IL 60422-1702

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits

on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

We often look to science to extend the comforts and conveniences of life in our world, including looking for ways to get more energy. However, history shows us that there are often unintended consequences for new technologies. We cannot afford to assume that because some scientists say a new source of energy is safe enough, that we should believe them. We are the ones who will be paying for any future unintended consequences. Caution must be used. We only have one world to live on - we must be the best stewards of it we can possibly be.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Jeffrey Courter

Patricia Podboy
1027 Deer Creek Rd
Carpentersville, IL 60110-1955

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Patricia Podboy

Angel Lee
1103 E Lowden Ave
Wheaton, IL 60189-6623

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Angel Lee

greg berglund

4011 Hennepin Dr
Joliet, IL 60431-8808

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume

hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
greg berglund

Denna Hackler-Sullivan
2250 N Maplewood Ave
Chicago, IL 60647-3146

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois

will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Denna Hackler-Sullivan

EVAGELOS KARNAVAS
13805 Timber Trails Rd
Orland Park, IL 60462-1536

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of

contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
EVAGELOS KARNAVAS

Maria Cruz
801 Lavergne Ave
Wilmette, IL 60091-2029

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those

existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Maria Cruz

Donald McGovern
4039 Ellington Ave
Western Springs, IL 60558-1206

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at

(a)(7)). 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Donald McGovern

Timothy Neuenkirchen
370 Montgomery Rd
Montgomery, IL 60538-1714

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Timothy Neuenkirchen

jeanettte Zawacki
384 Colonial Cir
Geneva, IL 60134-3639

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
jeanettte Zawacki

Nova Randolph
21848 Mocaby Rd
Thompsonville, IL 62890-3802

626764

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,

Nova Randolph

Calvin Johnson
1503 Colin Bradley Dr Apt A
Marion, IL 62959-3193

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only

begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Calvin Johnson

Carole Biang
2770 Sandpiper Trl
West Chicago, IL 60185-5920

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Carole Biang

Esther Allman
984 N Butternut Cir
Frankfort, IL 60423-2106

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile

away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Esther Allman

d holmquist
11131 Indian Woods Dr
Indian Head Park, IL 60525-4984

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under

the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
d holmquist

Patricia Carlin
4312 Osullivan Dr
Joliet, IL 60431-8961

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to

create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Patricia Carlin

Kathryn Bryzgalski
18359 Poplar Ave
Homewood, IL 60430-3426

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Kathryn Bryzgalski

Patrick Henry
95 Circle Dr W
Montgomery, IL 60538-2527

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

626772

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Patrick Henry

Albert and Marcia Hertz

659 W Aldine Ave
Chicago, IL 60657-3423

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume

hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Albert and Marcia Hertz

N Lee
Forest Rd
Lisle, IL 60532

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois

will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
N Lee

Alicia Zody
114 Maple St Apt 1a
Marshall, IL 62441-1178

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of

contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Alicia Zody

Ruth LaSure
116 W Elm St Apt 1322
Chicago, IL 60610-7871

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those

existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Ruth LaSure

June Hershey
117 Fernwood Dr
Naperville, IL 60540-7303

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at

(a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
June Hershey

Sandra Derrig
1608 W Berwyn Ave
Chicago, IL 60640-2006

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Sandra Derrig

karen scotese
136 Dodge Ave
Evanston, IL 60202-3661

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
karen scotese

Maggie Shipton
PO Box 374
Hamel, IL 62046-0374

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,

L20782

Maggie Shipton

Lloyd Richardson
2900 Kipling Ct
Springfield, IL 62711-7974

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only

begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Lloyd Richardson

Nate Frey
525 W Deming Pl Apt 523
Chicago, IL 60614-5904

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Nate Frey

Cheryl Mix
22w032 22nd St
Glen Ellyn, IL 60137-6877

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile

away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Cheryl Mix

Michael Surgeon
6 Angela Ct
Savoy, IL 61874-9447

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under

the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Michael Surgeon

Charlie Romano
105 New Brunswick Ln SW
Poplar Grove, IL 61065-8501

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to

create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Charlie Romano

Anne Marie Leofanti
217 Westwood Dr
Park Forest, IL 60466-1628

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Anne Marie Leofanti

Henry Gelstor
1809 W Main St
Carbondale, IL 62901-2123

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

026789

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Henry Gelstor

james schroeder

626790

2056 W 21st St
Chicago, IL 60608-2613

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume

626791

hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
james schroeder

Lisa Madrid
543 Oakhill Rd
Elgin, IL 60120-2271

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois

will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Lisa Madrid

Sander Gjuraj
760 S Vintage Ln
Round Lake, IL 60073-4262

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of

contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Sander Gjuraj

Carol P
732 Co. Rd. 1300N
Carmi, IL 62821

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those

existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

"Only when the last tree has died and the last river has been poisoned and the last fish has been caught will we realize that we can't eat money." (copied)

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Carol P

Mark Brooker
1149 E 56th St Apt 3
Chicago, IL 60637-1527

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to

create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Mark Brooker

Celeste Morgan
2644 Granite Ct
Crystal Lake, IL 60012-2607

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Celeste Morgan

Ruben Gil
6431 N Hoyne Ave
Chicago, IL 60645-5601

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

626737

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Ruben Gil

Marnie Ware

137 S Humphrey Ave
Oak Park, IL 60302-2710

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume

626799

hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Marnie Ware

Cindy Jensen
508 Park Ct
Fox River Grove, IL 60021-1125

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois

will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Cindy Jensen

James Lonergan
212 S George St
Mt Prospect, IL 60056-3430

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of

contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
James Lonergan

Frank Mores
968 Casa Solana Dr
Wheaton, IL 60189-8210

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those

existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Frank Mores

Jean Sachs-Nygard
2743 N Wolcott Ave Unit 39
Chicago, IL 60614-4452

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

Until you can prove no ill effect to our water and our air do not permit any new company created process like fracking.

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can

flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Jean Sachs-Nygard

Claudia Cass
460 Carroll Dr
Granite City, IL 62040-6634

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Claudia Cass

patricia diekman
7985 Haas Rd
Savanna, IL 61074-8628

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

026365

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
patricia diekman

Robert Fleming
10604 Ridge Dr

626886

Chicago Ridge, IL 60415-1831

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and

well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Robert Fleming

Liane Casten
1030 Asbury Ave
Evanston, IL 60202-1165

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence

of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Liane Casten

donna scott
3723 N Oakley Ave
Chicago, IL 60618-4812

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that

widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
donna scott

Karen Hays
206 N Bonnie Brae Ave
Elmhurst, IL 60126-2506

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock

formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Karen Hays

Pam Hill
30600 Southwoods Dr
Genoa, IL 60135-8163

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and

grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Pam Hill

janet summy
8827 Ellington Rd
Fowler, IL 62338-2161

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
janet summy

Tania Montoya
5806 N Natoma Ave
Chicago, IL 60631-3131

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed

rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Tania Montoya

Norman Jones
31 Hawthorne Ct
Calumet City, IL 60409-5011

Dec 3, 2013

626314

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Norman Jones

Mary Dean
5123 N Winthrop Ave
Chicago, IL 60640-3111

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an

626816

outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Mary Dean

Marc Levin
134 N La Salle St
Chicago, IL 60602-1086

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and

fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. What is the protocol for restoring a contaminated aquifer and criminally prosecuting the oil company executives who personally profit from destroying non-renewable water resources?

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Marc Levin

Steven Parzygnat
1134 W Granville Ave
Chicago, IL 60660-2092

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile

away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Steven Parzygnat

Marvin Makinen
5628 S Harper Ave
Chicago, IL 60637-1832

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under

the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking. We cannot afford to irreversibly spoil our environment and sources of potable water because of inadequate regulations on fracking. The health of children and of adults is at stake!

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Marvin Makinen

Richard Razo
2600 W Haddon Ave # 3f
Chicago, IL 60622-3430

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Richard Razo

Andrew Arellano
7829 41st Ct
Lyons, IL 60534-1301

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed

620821

rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Andrew Arellano

Nancy Hardy
12721 Golf View Dr
Huntley, IL 60142-7521

Dec 3, 2013

626322

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Nancy Hardy

626823

RUSSELL Zavala Zavala
PO Box 607
Herrin, IL 62948-0607

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an

626824

outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
RUSSELL Zavala Zavala

Jason Mortensen
6142 N Clark St
Chicago, IL 60660-2410

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and

fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Jason Mortensen

026826

Sincerely,
Mary Duff

Wendy Glasshof
1441 E Lake Louise Dr
Palatine, IL 60074-4115

Dec 5, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

I believe you are derelict in your duties to protect the people and the natural resources of we people of Illinois. I have read the detail report compiled by the people affected in Pennsylvania of how fracking has destroyed their water, their land, their health, their finances, their lives, and the future of all the people who are stuck inheriting that space.

I believe that these new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines. This was never the intention of anyone including our elected representatives and knowledgeable environmentalists.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow. It cannot be allowed to happen.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that

widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Wendy Glasshof

William Slowinski
5516 W 99th Pl
Oak Lawn, IL 60453-3843

Dec 5, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock

Dec 5, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Carlos Guerrero

Mary Duff
2800 N Lake Shore Dr
Chicago, IL 60657-6232

626839

our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Jill Vargas

Carlos Guerrero
2127 Tamarack Dr
Joliet, IL 60432-2263

Dec 5, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

620831

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Sean Moren

Jill Vargas
1111 gggg
lockport, IL 60441

Dec 5, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater,

(measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Hélène Lopez

Sean Moren
949 N Wolcott Ave
Chicago, IL 60622-6706

Dec 5, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
William S. Beisiegel, Jr.

Hélène Lopez
463 chemin Le Peyron
FLASSANS SUR ISSOLE, IL
83340

Dec 5, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well

Sincerely,
Kevin Adams

William S. Beisiegel, Jr.
1215 Mohawk Ct
Schaumburg, IL 60193-4824

Dec 5, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

It's obvious by the information about these proposed rules that the IDNR has deigned to allow us to see that we need to slow down and take a much harder look at what kind of environmental protections WE THE PEOPLE OF ILLINOIS need in order to protect our future clean water supply and our public health.

The energy isn't going anywhere, and the longer we wait for the proper rules, the more valuable those resources become. We need to take our time, figure out all of the implications of any rule, and do it right the first time!

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for

Dec 5, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking.&quot:

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Susan Leibowitz

Kevin Adams
1700 Devonshire Ln
Shorewood, IL 60404-8177

626837

our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Edward Haggard

Susan Leibowitz
732 W Schubert Ave
Chicago, IL 60614-1507

Dec 5, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

626833

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Julie Moyer

Edward Haggard
13553 Cicero Ave
Crestwood, IL 60445-1934

Dec 5, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater,

(measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Peter Bertoncini

Julie Moyer
1171 Rodgers St
Barry, IL 62312-1370

Dec 5, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Peter Bertoncini

Peter Bertoncini
335 S Lombard Ave
Oak Park, IL 60302-3523

Dec 5, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well

back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Michael Buehler

Peter Bertoncini
335 S Lombard Ave
Oak Park, IL 60302-3523

Dec 5, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
David Brayfield

Michael Buehler
106 S Virginia Ave
Belleville, IL 62220-3881

Dec 5, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it

David Brayfield
915 W Harvard St
Champaign, IL 61821-2534

Dec 5, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Margery Oberheide

hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Don Coleman

Margery Oberheide
1725 Fieldwood Dr # 202
Northbrook, IL 60062-5922

Dec 5, 2013

abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Linda Shore

Don Coleman
650 E Butterfield Rd
Lombard, IL 60148-5604

Dec 5, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from

when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Dan Franks

Linda Shore
4628 N Lowell Ave
Chicago, IL 60630-4016

Dec 5, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or

inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Gerda Muri

Dan Franks
1050 Oakwood St
Gurnee, IL 60031-2240

Dec 5, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits,

new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Gayle B. Wade

Gerda Muri
918 W Wolfram St # 2
Chicago, IL 60657-5006

Dec 4, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Laura Renardo

Gayle B. Wade
6040 S Harper Ave Apt 908
Chicago, IL 60637-2936

Dec 4, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating

Sincerely,
brian Leonardi

Laura Renardo
3620 Wesley Ave
Berwyn, IL 60402-3861

Dec 4, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

Dec 4, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

026853

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Allan Kaplan

brian Leonardi
3650 N Spitz Dr
Waukegan, IL 60087-2289

626854

our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Irena Walas

Allan Kaplan
1015 S Hampton Dr
Round Lake, IL 60073-5650

Dec 4, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

b20855

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Connie Roux

Irena Walas
7622 Magnolia Trl
Cherry Valley, IL 61016-9412

Dec 4, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater,

(measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Evelyn Homan

Connie Roux
407 Floral Park Dr
Savoy, IL 61874-9757

Dec 4, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Michael Mix

Evelyn Homan
408 E Greenwich St
Lexington, IL 61753-1537

Dec 4, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well

back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Carol Bruns

Michael Mix
2311 Ridgeland Ave
Berwyn, IL 60402-2430

Dec 4, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Thomas Rendall

Carol Bruns
956 Lange Ave
Beecher, IL 60401-3704

Dec 4, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it

Thomas Rendall
3504 Dixie Ave
Park City, IL 60085-5913

Dec 4, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

626861

You must make the companies responsible for damage they cause. The massive extent of drilling and the huge amounts of contaminated water being left under our feet must not be the responsibility of innocent property owners.

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

One problem I have not heard discussed is the issue of where the clean water fracking will be using will come from. Here in south central Illinois, we barely have enough clean water to drink. Where are these millions of gallons of water needed for fracking?

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Rita Hunt

create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Sharon Wakefield

Rita Hunt
2139 County Road 1050 E
Rinard, IL 62878-1022

Dec 4, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Heath Chappell

Sharon Wakefield
501Cheever Ave.
Geneva, IL 60134

Dec 4, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to

away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
ellene shapiro

Heath Chappell
5333 W Newport Ave # 1
Chicago, IL 60641-3333

Dec 4, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Walter Schmitt

ellene shapiro
650 Laurel Ave Apt 502
Highland Park, IL 60035-3584

Dec 4, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile

begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Lana Schmitt

Walter Schmitt
10005 Gentian Dr
Machesney Park, IL 61115-1542

Dec 4, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

Chris Siebold

Lana Schmitt
10005 Gentian Dr
Machesney Park, IL 61115-1542

Dec 4, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only

Dec 4, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,

626869

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Susan Cook

Chris Siebold
4036 N Mozart St
Chicago, IL 60618-2765

026870

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
mary lytle

Susan Cook
1021 Sunnyside Ave
Wauconda, IL 60084-1537

Dec 4, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

020871

(a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Joe Sonnefeldt

mary lytle
67 Alder Ct
Wood River, IL 62095-2239

Dec 4, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Jordan Russell

Joe Sonnefeldt
715 N Fairview Ave
Mount Prospect, IL 60056-2037

Dec 4, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at

contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Shari Ralish

Jordan Russell
1619 W 16th St
Chicago, IL 60608-2039

Dec 4, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those

will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Tony Viren

Shari Ralish
206 Longview Dr
Geneva, IL 60134-3815

Dec 4, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of

hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Marin Quezada

Tony Viren
638 Candy Ln
Machesney Park, IL 61115-1332

Dec 4, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois

N Sheridan Rd
Chicago, IL 60640

Dec 4, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Isabel Bichao

Marin Quezada

020878

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
David Millett

Isabel Bichao
1158 E 49th St
Chicago, IL 60615-1908

Dec 4, 2013

Food & Water Watch

026679

create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Mary Jo Comerford

David Millett
1015 W Barry Ave
Chicago, IL 60657-4309

Dec 4, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Tim McCrory

Mary Jo Comerford
37 Maple Ct
Decatur, IL 62526-3930

Dec 4, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to

away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
John Helgoth

Tim McCrory
1116 Pember Cir
West Dundee, IL 60118-3516

Dec 4, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Alex A. Bobroff

John Helgoth
1210 Price Ave
Calumet City, IL 60409-5808

Dec 4, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile

begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
lee adami

Alex A. Bobroff
14326 S Creme Rd
Homer Glen, IL 60491-9326

Dec 4, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

Jolie Misek

lee adami
2397 W Stagecoach Trl
Scales Mound, IL 61075-9506

Dec 4, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only

Dec 4, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Carole Spencer

Jolie Misek
9317 Pine Needle Pass
Bull Valley, IL 60097-9460

020887

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Amy Rieselman

Carole Spencer
115 E N 3rd St
Georgetown, IL 61846-1715

Dec 4, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

626888

(a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Angel Sides

Amy Rieselman
25w156 Salem Ave
Naperville, IL 60540-3420

Dec 4, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Angel Sides

Angel Sides
2124 Blackhawk Rd
Springfield, IL 62702-1311

Dec 4, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at

contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Angel Sides

Angel Sides
2124 Blackhawk Rd
Springfield, IL 62702-1311

Dec 4, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those

will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Bill Foster

Angel Sides
2124 Blackhawk Rd
Springfield, IL 62702-1311

Dec 4, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of

hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Dana Sullivan

Bill Foster
574 Ambleside Rd
Des Plaines, IL 60016-2608

Dec 4, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois

5425 N Glenwood Ave Apt 1
Chicago, IL 60640-1203

Dec 4, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume

026634

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Jennifer Cohen

Dana Sullivan

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Roberta Allen

Jennifer Cohen
535 Appletree Ln
Deerfield, IL 60015-3930

Dec 4, 2013

Food & Water Watch

b26836

create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Roberta Allen

Roberta Allen
108 1/2 Payne Ave
Danville, IL 61832-5737

Dec 4, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
john lawlor

Roberta Allen
108 1/2 Payne Ave
Danville, IL 61832-5737

Dec 4, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Shannon Peterson

john lawlor
1506 N Dryden Ave
Arlington Hts, IL 60004-4032

Dec 4, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

Please don't risk long term health problems for short term financial gain.These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under

back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Francis Saponara-Rodriguez

Shannon Peterson
14107 S King Rd
Homer Glen, IL 60491-8516

Dec 4, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Garrick Balk

Francis Saponara-Rodriguez
1010 Brighton Dr
Wheaton, IL 60189-8146

Dec 4, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it

Garrick Balk
236 Prairie St
South Elgin, IL 60177-1528

Dec 4, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

026952

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Patricia Wille

626963

hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Wanda Berry

Patricia Wille
995 N Butternut Cir
Frankfort, IL 60423-2105

Dec 4, 2013

b26934

abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Karen Long MacLeod

Wanda Berry
3037 Fresno Ln
Homewood, IL 60430-2840

Dec 4, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from

when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Sarah Howard

Karen Long MacLeod
347 Douglas Ave
Waukegan, IL 60085-2041

Dec 4, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or

inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
joe paenti

Sarah Howard
1213 E 63rd St
Chicago, IL 60637-3721

Dec 4, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits,

new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Miguel Hernandez

joe paenti
12021 S Leamington Ave
Alsip, IL 60803-3135

Dec 4, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Whitney Murphy

Miguel Hernandez
6245 S Sacramento Ave
Chicago, IL 60629-2623

Dec 4, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating

Sincerely,
Stacy Croan

Whitney Murphy
5412 Lyman Ave
Downers Grove, IL 60515-4944

Dec 4, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

Dec 4, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

020911

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Philip Kritzman

Stacy Croan
1623 West Blvd
Belleville, IL 62221-5059

026912

our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Robert Jespersen

Philip Kritzman
5615 N Kostner Ave
Chicago, IL 60646-5917

Dec 4, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

626913

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Charles Whipple

Robert Jespersen
5701 N Sheridan Rd Apt 28s
Chicago, IL 60660-6717

Dec 4, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater,

hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

I am truly horrified that the practice of fracking is being allowed at all, in this country or anywhere. The threats it poses to our natural resources are so real and so extreme that I am shocked that we would be willing to engage in it. For the sake of a short term and filthy energy supply we are willing to sacrifice potable drinking water for the foreseeable future. Can this possibly seem like a reasonable bargain to anyone? Not to mention that the methane produced by the practice of fracking is even more damaging to our environment than CO2, and this at a time of rapid climate change.

What I find so disturbing and sad is that any arguments I could make in this letter concerning the new rules for fracking in Illinois and how they are so drastically inadequate have already been made by countless other people before me, and in fact you already knew these things when you drafted these rules. You are willing to sacrifice our planet, our drinking water, the air we breathe - so what more can I say other than please don't do this to us. Please. I wish I could say this to you in person, because I think it is easier to ignore nameless faceless people. It is easier to ignore a future that you can't see yet, and generations of people who are not yet born. Please don't destroy things for them, for us.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Rachel Krucoff

Charles Whipple
1554 W Juneway Ter Apt 2r
Chicago, IL 60626-1268

Dec 4, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Kevin Keenan

Rachel Krucoff
5441 S Kenwood Ave Apt 3
Chicago, IL 60615-5484

Dec 4, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from

when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Deborah Schechter

Kevin Keenan
596 Stuart Dr
Carol Stream, IL 60188-4409

Dec 4, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or

inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Frederick Schmidt

Deborah Schechter
6647 N Richmond St
Chicago, IL 60645-4210

Dec 4, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits,

new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Armin Balli

Frederick Schmidt
950 E Illinois Rd
Lake Forest, IL 60045-2408

Dec 4, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Terry Trattner

Armin Balli
6109 N Damen Ave Apt 4c
Chicago, IL 60659-5312

Dec 4, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating

Sincerely,
JUDITH LEARNED

Terry Trattner
433 Monarch Birch Ln
Bartlett, IL 60103-1582

Dec 4, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

Dec 4. 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

020322

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Robert Kolkebeck

JUDITH LEARNED
7610 OSKWOOD
WONDER LAKE, IL 60097

026923

our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Tina Meadows

Robert Kolkebeck
131 Hay St
Park Forest, IL 60466-1219

Dec 4, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

620924

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Kay Taliaferro

Tina Meadows
3338 N Oriole Ave
Chicago, IL 60634-3246

Dec 4, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater,

(measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
michelle lord

Kay Taliaferro
35597 130th Ave
Pleasant Hill, IL 62366-2325

Dec 4, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Carlotta Olson

michelle lord
4839 W Engle Rd
Alsip, IL 60803-3088

Dec 4, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well

back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Edwin Homeier

Carlotta Olson
2056 W Le Moyne St
Chicago, IL 60622-1943

Dec 4, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

I urge you to make the following changes in the draft rules to fully implement the controls in the Act.

1) Fracking risks significant pollution of ground waters. Consequently, you must strengthen the protections against water pollution by:

a. Eliminating the potential abuses of "emergency pits" in the proposed regulation.

b. Extending the protections in the rules to include the FULL RANGE of chemicals used in Fracking, without regard to any claims of proprietary interests or trade secrets.

c. Requiring that complete descriptions of the processes and chemicals employed at a site are easily accessible and readily available to first responders and emergency medical personnel so that they can appropriately manage unexpected incidents.

d. Writing protections for fragile wells, streams or ponds into the rules to protect populations from exhaustion and contaminations of these vital resources.

2) Many loopholes in the existing regulations must be closed. For example:

a. All high-volume Fracking Operations should be covered.

b. The limits on the use of foams and gases in base fluids must be well defined and closely regulated.

c. The rules must fully apply to regulate all ongoing operations.

d. Existing operations must not be exempted from the full force of the rules for any reason, and if permits are modified all individuals impacted must be advised of the changes to be made.

3) Penalties must be significantly increased to discourage violation of the law. Failure to comply with the law should be made expensive enough to rectify problems and address legal liabilities that might arise.

4) Since hydrocarbons are potent greenhouse gases, it is critical that the rules assure the quantitative recovery of the gas liberated during Fracking without regard to the expense incurred. Failure to comply should be severely punished and proven violations should prevent issuing future Fracking permits to violators.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Bonnie Duman

Edwin Homeier
109 Sun St
Cabery, IL 60919-5502

Dec 4, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Rules DNR is Working on Right Now

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

After I completed a college degree in education, I taught science at the high school level for three years. I then resumed my education and received a PhD in Chemistry from the University of Wisconsin, Madison. I taught at the college level for seven years, attaining tenure as Associate Professor. I left academia and began work in industrial research, where I became a Senior Research Specialist in the fields of petroleum and petrochemicals. After 27 years in the research centers of the same major corporation, I retired with 27 US Patents and dozens of scientific publications. Consequently, I feel competent to comment on the potential scientific concerns associated with the Hydraulic Fracturing Process (Fracking).

There are many problematic aspects in Fracking technology and I comment upon some below. But first I want to point out that the current emphasis on acquiring and burning the last traces of hydrocarbon in the crust of the Earth is a flawed policy. Actually, if we utilize more than a fraction of currently proven hydrocarbon reserves, the temperature of the atmosphere will increase so much that the entire ecology is threatened. That would risk the lives of people like you, me and my grandchildren. So, I want to end or significantly restrict extractive processes. Since the state government has agreed to permit Fracking in Illinois, I am here to ask you to control the dangers associated with Fracking.

begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Joseph Knell

Bonnie Duman
930 Heather Rd
Deerfield, IL 60015-3410

Dec 4, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

Mary Garzino

Joseph Knell
5200 N Sheridan Rd
Chicago, IL 60640-2532

Dec 4, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only

Dec 4, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,

020933

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Steven Rhodes

Mary Garzino
5022 N Sayre Ave
Chicago, IL 60656-3711

020934

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
david kellogg

Steven Rhodes
1420 S Prairie Ave
Chicago, IL 60605-2884

Dec 4, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

(a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Donna Bucher

david kellogg
520 Park Ave
Rockford, IL 61103-6785

Dec 4, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Penny Hanna

Donna Bucher
5211 W Warwick Ave
Chicago, IL 60641-3348

Dec 4, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at

contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Sam Karim

Penny Hanna
305 S Cottage Grove Ave
Urbana, IL 61802-3505

Dec 4, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those

will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Jeffrey Tangel

Sam Karim
300 N Euclid Ave
Oak Park, IL 60302-2110

Dec 4, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of

hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
David Ulibarri

Jeffrey Tangel
2028 W 101st Pl
Chicago, IL 60643-2071

Dec 4, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois

6032 N Oriole Ave
Chicago, IL 60631-3829

Dec 4, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Jacqueline Glass

David Ulibarri

020942

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Debra Harris

Jacqueline Glass
17 Rockingham Pl
Belleville, IL 62223-7006

Dec 4, 2013

Food & Water Watch

626943

create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Corinne Shannon

Debra Harris
9630 Keeler Ave
Skokie, IL 60076-1128

Dec 4, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
traci brown

Corinne Shannon
533 W Addison St
Chicago, IL 60613-4717

Dec 4, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to

away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Martha Howard

traci brown
548 Belleview Ave
West Chicago, IL 60185-2156

Dec 4, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under

rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Carol Kimsey

Martha Howard
4250 N Marine Dr
Chicago, IL 60613-1744

Dec 4, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Moratorium on Fracking Permits

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

The current fracking rules are very weak, and will do irreparable harm to our groundwater. We need a complete moratorium on fracking.

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Jean Hirsch

Carol Kimsey
1162 Highland Ave
Oak Park, IL 60304-2243

Dec 4, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

I wonder how many of the earthquakes in the mid-west are due to fracking? Additionally, I worry about the quality of our drinking water if things go awry in fracking areas, as they will because all mining endeavors have accidents. What is the cost of this in real-people terms? WHAT DO WE REALLY WANT FOR OUR CITIZENS????

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Imelda Smith

Jean Hirsch
1610 Lincoln St
Evanston, IL 60201-2341

Dec 4, 2013

626953

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Melissa Noel

Imelda Smith
382 Pennsylvania Ave Apt 30
Glen Ellyn, IL 60137-4333

Dec 4, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed

inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. The whole process wastes an incredible amount of otherwise potable water, at a time when water is becoming an ever more scarce resource. Fracking also requires that hundreds of truckloads of equipment, supplies, and waste be transported to and from each drilling site, causing a huge increase in carbon emissions. It also causes horrible air pollution, habitat destruction, and land and water pollution, and most importantly, diverts our efforts away from developing renewable, clean energy production. The only way to avoid these problems is with an outright ban on fracking.

Please deny ALL requests for fracking permits. NO FRACKING IN ILLINOIS!

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Darcy Leach

Melissa Noel
1128 Linden Ave
Oak Park, IL 60302-1243

Dec 4, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Roxanne Zwier-Swanson

Darcy Leach
423 W High St
Peoria, IL 61606-1922

Dec 4, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Julia Neely-Spain

Roxanne Zwier-Swanson
322 Gillett Ave
Waukegan, IL 60085-2016

Dec 4, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating

Sincerely,
Colin Kay

Julia Neely-Spain
1718 E Main St
West Frankfort, IL 62896-1604

Dec 4, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

Help us.
Thank you

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

THERE IS NO SAFE FRACKING!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Chris Mest

Colin Kay
7637 161st Pl
Tinley Park, IL 60477-1418

Dec 4, 2013

028957

fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Dan Delorenzo

Chris Mest
218 Country Club Dr
Prospect Heights, IL 60070-2566

Dec 4, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
John Zec

Dan Delorenzo
6713 N Sacramento Ave
Chicago, IL 60645-4222

Dec 4, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from

well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Kenneth Bearden

John Zec
6101 Boca Rio Dr
Oak Forest, IL 60452-1817

Dec 4, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
A B

Kenneth Bearden
211 N. Greebriar, Apt. 1
Carterville, IL 62918

Dec 4, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Valerie Parmley

A B
3823 W Addison St
Chicago, IL 60618-5009

Dec 4, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

Sincerely,
Carolyn Kras

Valerie Parmley
2500 Delmar Ave
Granite City, IL 62040-3428

Dec 4, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

Dec 4, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Sr. Fahrner

Carolyn Kras
1234 Undisclosed
La Grange, IL 60525

626965

fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Kate Harder

Sr. Fahrner
1958 Illinois St
Des Plaines, IL 60018-3047

Dec 4, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

020966

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Andy Myers

Kate Harder
1n186 Main St
Glen Ellyn, IL 60137-3692

Dec 4, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing

respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
JUDITH SCHWAGER

Andy Myers
15101durkee rd
Alden, IL 60001

Dec 4, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Allison Fradkin

JUDITH SCHWAGER
1915 Dogwood Dr
Hoffman Estates, IL 60192-1526

Dec 4, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two

rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Sunny Balsam

Allison Fradkin
3616 Torrey Pines Pkwy
Northbrook, IL 60062-3104

Dec 4, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Katie Abma

Sunny Balsam
822 Colfax St
Evanston, IL 60201-2421

Dec 4, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed

Katie Abma
3201 Scoville Ave
Berwyn, IL 60402-3546

Dec 4, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

626972

rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal. Fracking anywhere near the New Madrid Fault should be out of the question.

Since massive amounts of water are needed, how are you protecting sources of drinking water for local residents? Will you protect recreational waterways that so many Illinoisans depend on for their livelihoods?

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Steven Serikaku

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
David Lysik

Steven Serikaku
6234 N Wayne Ave
Chicago, IL 60660-1913

Dec 4, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed

grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Victor Zaveduk

David Lysik
1452 W Rosemont Ave
Chicago, IL 60660-1320

Dec 4, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Elisabeth Wengronowitz

Victor Zaveduk
6331 N Merrimac Ave
Chicago, IL 60646-3707

Dec 4, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and

fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.
Anyone expecting corporate America (or international) to 'do the right thing' is either extremely naive or completely oblivious to current events, and quite possibly compliciant with the thinking that short term gain is worth the risk of long term ruin.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Richard Ainsworth

Elisabeth Wengronowitz
602 S Mitchell Ave
Arlington Heights, IL 60005-2516

Dec 4, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock

outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
peter izquierdo

Richard Ainsworth
721 Taylor St
Joliet, IL 60435-6155

Dec 4, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

1.We need a 1000 year plan for these fracking sites before injection begins.
2. I am terrorized as to the prospect of contaminated water, what safeguards are in place to protect the public long term health and economic viability.
Because fracking is not a contained process there will be non food grade chemicals in our drinking water. The united states government and townships spend billions a year on keeping our natural resources pristine and secure from terrorists. 1.Do not continue fracking until the process can be contained or 2.go back to only pumping out the gas not putting in non food grade chemicals into our food stuffs 3.please promote the use of renewable resources and at home conservation.

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an

our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
peter izquierdo

peter izquierdo
5346 W 23rd Pl
Cicero, IL 60804-2808

Dec 4, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

020330

(measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Cathy Felix

peter izquierdo
5346 W 23rd Pl
Cicero, IL 60804-2808

Dec 4, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

Because fracking is not a contained process there will be non food grade chemicals in our drinking water. The united states government and townships spend billions a year on keeping our natural resources pristine and secure from terrorists. 1.Do not continue fracking until the process can be contained or 2.go back to only pumping out the gas not putting in non food grade chemicals into our food stuffs 3.please promote the use of renewable resources and at home conservation.

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater,

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Kirsten Schelbert

Cathy Felix
2706 Deerfield Ln
Rolling Meadows, IL 60008-2139

Dec 4, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well

back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
amira noureldin

Kirsten Schelbert
2523 Asbury Ave
Evanston, IL 60201-2308

Dec 4, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Marjorie Woodruff

amira noureldin
5525 Mallard Ln
Hoffman Estates, IL 60192-4564

Dec 4, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it

Marjorie Woodruff
1237 N Maplewood Ave
Chicago, IL 60622-2858

Dec 4, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

626955

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
sara de mari

026956

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.
My grandchildren, my children and I have a right to clean earth and water. Fracking destroys our right.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Barbara Davidson

sara de mari
271 Lorraine Cir
Bloomingdale, IL 60108-2550

Dec 4, 2013

026957

create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Meghan Capulong

Barbara Davidson
2317 W Sherman Ave
West Peoria, IL 61604-5420

Dec 4, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

020988

the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
clarence krygsheld

Meghan Capulong
1607 Westminster Dr Apt 203
Naperville, IL 60563-9224

Dec 4, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to

away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Brandon Kozak

clarence krygsheld
132 Wedgewood Way
Bolingbrook, IL 60440-2013

Dec 4, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Francis S.

Brandon Kozak
216 N Fillmore St
Edwardsville, IL 62025-1756

Dec 4, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile

'ground-source' geothermal space heating and cooling, and so forth.]
]

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
DENNIS NELSON

Francis S.
4209 Lindley St
Downers Grove, IL 60515-2128

Dec 4, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois' essential groundwater resource will be contaminated with cancer-causing 'fracking' chemicals, hydrocarbon gases, and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from 'fracking,' and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which toxic chemical and radioactive contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called "'frack' hits," when new fractures from gas and oil 'fracking' intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about "'frack' hits" on current wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). Even then, they only need to consider those current wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously very inadequate! Just this past September, in New Mexico, 'fracking' at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. (That was 2,640 feet away!)

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for 'fracking' permits are. Actually, very little is known about the connectivity of toxic chemical and radioactive contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread 'fracking' will expand these pathways, creating new routes for hazardous contamination which we might not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by 'fracking,' there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be AVOIDED WITH AN OUTRIGHT BAN ON ALL OIL AND GAS 'FRACKING!' [I am one of the original, modern environmental/energy activists dating back to around the very first "EARTH DAY" (Wednesday, April 22, 1970), over 43 years ago. I have a Bachelor of Science (B.S.) Degree in Biology and Environmental Studies from Dana College, Blair, Nebraska. I strongly support a non-fossil-fuel (non-gas and oil 'fracking')/non-nuclear energy pathway, which is based upon these things: Greatly increased energy efficiency, sustainable liquid biofuels (enzymatic biodiesel and cellulosic ethanol) produced from regional feedstocks, municipal and farm methane biogas co-digesters, solid biomass-fueled combined heat-and-power (CHP)/district heating systems, community-operated solar photovoltaic (PV) projects with "feed-in tariffs," community-owned wind turbines using "group net-metering," solar agricultural process heat for drying grains, higher-temperature solar industrial process heat, solar domestic and commercial water heating, passive solar space heating and cooling, lower-temperature

fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Nancy DeSecki

DENNIS NELSON
3817 S Winchester Ave Fl 2
Chicago, IL 60609-2011

Dec 4, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: ILLINOIS' NEW OIL AND GAS 'FRACKING' RULES ARE TERRIBLE: I CALL FOR NOT ONE 'FRACKING' PERMIT TO BE GRANTED!!

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from

wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Bonita Brinkman

Nancy DeSecki
558 Whispering Pines Rd
Lindenhurst, IL 60046-8794

Dec 4, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from

well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Meera Godbole

Bonita Brinkman
4005 Bluff Dr
Belvidere, IL 61008-7870

Dec 4, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
noreen lassandrello

Meera Godbole
117 Winchester Dr Unit A
Streamwood, IL 60107-2438

Dec 4, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Sheridan Donofrie

noreen lassandrello
307 Briargate Ter
Hinsdale, IL 60521-2819

Dec 4, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

Sincerely,
Richard Bathje

Sheridan Donofrie
412 Cambridge Way
Bolingbrook, IL 60440-1147

Dec 4, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

Dec 4, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
greg caravelli

Richard Bathje
16810 Trapet Ave
Hazel Crest, IL 60429-1318

fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
THOMAS SUYKO

greg caravelli
130 E Circle Dr
New Lenox, IL 60451-9746

Dec 4, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Teresa Willoby

THOMAS SUYKO
6450 Barrick Dr
Rockford, IL 61114-7806

Dec 4, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing

respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Shawn Abrahamson

Teresa Willoby
19204 Townline Rd
Pekin, IL 61554-8761

Dec 4, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Jennifer Walter

Shawn Abrahamson
7377 N Rogers Ave
Chicago, IL 60626-5960

Dec 4, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two

rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
JUEL BEY

Jennifer Walter
531 Mohican Rd
Carol Stream, IL 60188-3606

Dec 4, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Gavin Van Horn

JUEL BEY
7165 E Country Club Dr Apt 2
Saint Anne, IL 60964-5808

Dec 4, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed

Gavin Van Horn
2541 Prairie Ave Apt 1
Evanston, IL 60201-2251

Dec 4, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Jay Hurt

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Thomas Spees

Jay Hurt
PO Box 322
Savoy, IL 61874-0322

Dec 4, 2013

Food & Water Watch

021010

fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Amy Hedstrom

Thomas Spees
542 W. Brompton, 1 South
Chicago, IL 60657

Dec 4, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Kathleen Funck

Amy Hedstrom
600 3rd St
Wilmette, IL 60091-1921

Dec 4, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from

well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Matthew Moresi

Kathleen Funck
1318 W George St
Chicago, IL 60657-4127

Dec 4, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Mitchell Montgomery

Matthew Moresi
1931 S Clark St Unit D
Chicago, IL 60616-1990

Dec 4, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Arlene Echols

Mitchell Montgomery
1504 Westgate Ct
Rochelle, IL 61068-2407

Dec 4, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

Sincerely,
Chris Caron

Arlene Echols
11329 S Saint Lawrence Ave
Chicago, IL 60628-5111

Dec 4, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

Dec 4, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Charlene Foulke

Chris Caron
3440 Emerson St
Franklin Park, IL 60131-1765

fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
maureen oconnor

Charlene Foulke
812 Pembrooke Rd
Libertyville, IL 60048-3048

Dec 4, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Phyllis Arist

maureen oconnor
6251 Edgebrook Ln E
Indian Head Park, IL 60525-6993

Dec 4, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing

respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Daniel McGuigan

Phyllis Arist
945 Ridge Ave
Evanston, IL 60202-1719

Dec 4, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Sarah Szopinski

Daniel McGuigan
8390 Field Crest Ave
Willow Springs, IL 60480-1068

Dec 4, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two

rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Marty Monroe

Sarah Szopinski
1356 Laurel Oaks Dr
Streamwood, IL 60107-3305

Dec 4, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Margaret Schneider

Marty Monroe
2113 S 3rd St
Fayetteville, IL 62258-5049

Dec 4, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed

Margaret Schneider
8S541 Oxford Lane
Naperville, IL 60565

Dec 4, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rule
s, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Sarah Leonardi

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Craig Officer

Sarah Leonardi
2105 Woodlane Dr
Lindenhurst, IL 60046-8010

Dec 4, 2013

Food & Water Watch

fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
david marriott

Craig Officer
7121 S Paxton Ave
Chicago, IL 60649-2523

Dec 4, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Thomas Martens

david marriott
409n. 3rd. st.
St. Joseph, IL 61873

Dec 4, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from

well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
David Forte

Thomas Martens
9455 Pine St
Mokena, IL 60448-9313

Dec 4, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Andrew Hoffman

David Forte
821 Washington St
Evanston, IL 60202-2257

Dec 4, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Teagen Andrews

Andrew Hoffman
6023 S Park Ave
Morton Grove, IL 60053-2947

Dec 4, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

Sincerely,
Mike C

Teagen Andrews
28465 Highview Rd
Mchenry, IL 60051-7217

Dec 4, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

Dec 4, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Karen Gylling

Mike C
562 Greenbrier Ln
Crystal Lake, IL 60014-7009

fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Sandra Speice

Karen Gylling
852 High Gate Crse
Glen Ellyn, IL 60137-3205

Dec 4, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Sarah Winblad

Sandra Speice
395 Lake Shore Dr S
Goreville, IL 62939-3153

Dec 4, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing

respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Carol Buikema

Sarah Winblad
918 S Carpenter St
Chicago, IL 60607-4283

Dec 4, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
David Lang

Carol Buikema
15140 Kilpatrick Ave
Oak Forest, IL 60452-2422

Dec 4, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two

rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Ruth Roll

David Lang
2715 Greenwood Rd
Northbrook, IL 60062-7623

Dec 4, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
John Lindgren

Ruth Roll
r3, box 59A
Monmouth, IL 61462-9403

Dec 4, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed

John Lindgren
807 S Oakley Blvd
Chicago, IL 60612-4227

Dec 4, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

021042

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Paul Berland

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Elise Shalda-Wright

Paul Berland
625 Walnut Ave
Elgin, IL 60123-7421

Dec 4, 2013

Food & Water Watch

021044

fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Jane Crowley

Elise Shalda-Wright
2732 w 9th st
Evergreen Park, IL 60805

Dec 4, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how VAGUE the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are NO GOOD options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the RISK that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright BAN on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
John van Kleef

Jane Crowley
3317 Golden Eagle Rd
Bloomington, IL 61704-2593

Dec 4, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from

well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Carole Abood

John van Kleef
2020 N Spaulding Ave
Chicago, IL 60647-3794

Dec 4, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's GROUNDWATER, our most essential resource, WILLBE CONTAMINATED with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

There isn't one state where fracking hasn't caused destruction, or the poisoning of the water table. There is NO REASON we should let industries that engage in fracking be able to operate anywhere near our water.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
John Aardema

Carole Abood
2018 Fulham Dr
Naperville, IL 60564-8422

Dec 4, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one

our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
mary jones

John Aardema
2216 Wharf Dr
Woodridge, IL 60517-4370

Dec 4, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
nora Liu

mary jones
8220 Hillside Rd
Crystal Lake, IL 60012-2836

Dec 4, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater,

(measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Joseph Sessa

nora Liu
1603 S Highland Ave
Arlington Heights, IL 60005-3631

Dec 4, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Cherie Hunton

Joseph Sessa
2151 W Bowler St
Chicago, IL 60612-4201

Dec 4, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations hardly begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking in Illinois. But under the current rules, Illinois could easily be turned into a wasteland unfit for living or farming.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Don Dieckmann

Cherie Hunton
371 Merion Dr
Cary, IL 60013-1701

Dec 4, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

I am trying to raise a family in Illinois. We need clean drinking water.

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Sincerely,
Jeff Staebell

Don Dieckmann
4614 Wisteria Dr
Alton, IL 62002-7158

Dec 4, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic and often radioactive waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the additional risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

Dec 4, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
John Ryan

Jeff Staebell
10712 Willy Ave
Algonquin, IL 60102-3517

021056

fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Tessa Fischer

John Ryan
4512 Lathrop Dr
Woodstock, IL 60098-8500

Dec 4, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Mary Ann Wiesner

Tessa Fischer
1710 Garand Dr
Deerfield, IL 60015-2643

Dec 4, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing

respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Jo Anne Przybylowski

Mary Ann Wiesner
4516 Middaugh Ave
Downers Grove, IL 60515-2762

Dec 4, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Rita Killean

Jo Anne Przybyłowski
4638 S St Louis Ave
Chicago, IL 60632-2936

Dec 4, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two

rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Carolyn Treadway

Rita Killean
4063 W Glenlake Ave
Chicago, IL 60646-5238

Dec 4, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Elizabeth Bullock

Carolyn Treadway
712 N School St
Normal, IL 61761-1621

Dec 4, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed

Elizabeth Bullock
4500 N Magnolia Ave
Chicago, IL 60640-5513

Dec 4, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
John Williams

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Joshua Miskinis

John Williams
309 1st St # 73
South Pekin, IL 61564-7540

Dec 4, 2013

Food & Water Watch

fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Josette Coupet

Joshua Miskinis
209 E Kellogg Ave
Kewanee, IL 61443-3711

Dec 4, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Marilyn Frade

Josette Coupet
5458 N Kenmore Ave
Chicago, IL 60640-1831

Dec 4, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from

well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Stephanie Miklavcic

Marilyn Frade
1036 Washington Blvd
Oak Park, IL 60302-3788

Dec 4, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Kay Taliaferro

Stephanie Miklavcic
306 Desoto St Apt 6
Ottawa, IL 61350-1457

Dec 4, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Dan Crowe

Kay Taliaferro
35597 130th Ave
Pleasant Hill, IL 62366-2325

Dec 4, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

Dan Crowe
842 W Barry Ave
Chicago, IL 60657-4451

Dec 4, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

Government is supposed to be for the people, to protect them against corporate ruthlessness. These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Janet McDonnell

rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Tracy Warning

Janet McDonnell
1322 N Vail Ave
Arlington Heights, IL 60004-4735

Dec 4, 2013

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Joanne Smith

Tracy Warning
33 Lake Metonga Trl
Grant Park, IL 60940-9778

Dec 4, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed

grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Terri Latsch

Joanne Smith
605 Esta Dr
Plano, IL 60545-2017

Dec 4, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Michael Polite

Terri Latsch
76 Catherine Ct
Crystal Lake, IL 60014-6111

Dec 4, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and

widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Craig Slocum

Michael Polite
184 Acorn Ln
Libertyville, IL 60048-2158

Dec 4, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock

of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Charles Petrof

Craig Slocum
186 W Burlington St
Riverside, IL 60546-1902

Dec 4, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that

well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Sherri Webber

Charles Petrof
1204 Wesley Ave
Evanston, IL 60202-1131

Dec 4, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence

Galva, IL 61434-0134

Dec 4, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Connie Stone

Sherri Webber
PO Box 134

021081

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Ann Winterhalder

Connie Stone
656 Lakekwood Farms Dr.
Bolingbrook, IL 60490

Dec 4, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Rose Palomo

Ann Winterhalder
3108 N Nagle Ave
Chicago, IL 60634-4916

Dec 4, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Patricia Loehr

Rose Palomo
730 Surrey Dr
Streamwood, IL 60107-2165

Dec 4, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
anne gustafson

Patricia Loehr
1410 Somerset Rd
Harrisburg, IL 62946-5442

Dec 4, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits

fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Connie Fischbein

anne gustafson
601 E Front St
Bloomington, IL 61701-5313

Dec 4, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
mike lankford

Connie Fischbein
420 Hamilton St
Evanston, IL 60202-1368

Dec 4, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and

mike lankford
265 E Penning Ave
Wood River, IL 62095-2030

Dec 4, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
BJ Ross

rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Patrick Maher

BJ Ross
109 W Illinois St Apt 307
Urbana, IL 61801-3355

Dec 4, 2013

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Cristen Leifheit

Patrick Maher
642 Champlaine Ct
Westmont, IL 60559-1207

Dec 4, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed

(measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Junyeup Lee

Cristen Leifheit
2625 W Cortland St Apt 3r
Chicago, IL 60647-4229

Dec 4, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

How are we ignoring all of the known hazards brought with Fracking? The regulations are not strict enough and it is going to cost our health and the health of our families and severe damage to our environment.

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Paula Willey

Junyeup Lee
818 N Washtenaw Ave
Chicago, IL 60622-8578

Dec 4, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well

back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Timothy Rutnicki

Paula Willey
7502 Cuneo Dr
Spring Grove, IL 60081-8466

Dec 4, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Bob Shields

Timothy Rutnicki
1 S West Ave
Bourbonnais, IL 60914-1109

Dec 4, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it

Bob Shields
8 Poplar Rd
Yorkville, IL 60560-9519

Dec 4, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Simon Helgeson

hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
john plas

Simon Helgeson
526 Lee St Apt 3e
Evanston, IL 60202-4528

Dec 4, 2013

abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Tom Payleitner

john plas
32930 N Thornapple Ln
Grayslake, IL 60030-2059

Dec 4, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from

when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Michelle Maher

Tom Payleitner
33261 N Lake Shore Dr
Grayslake, IL 60030-1701

Dec 4, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or

inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Brian Muhr

Michelle Maher
642 Champlaine Ct
Westmont, IL 60559-1207

Dec 4, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits,

new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Patricia Todd

Brian Muhr
1120 Jackson Ave
Charleston, IL 61920-2237

Dec 4, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Allan Johnston

Patricia Todd
38442 N Munn Rd
Lake Villa, IL 60046-7022

Dec 4, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating

Sincerely,
William Linz

Allan Johnston
548 Sheridan
Evanston, IL 60202

Dec 4, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

Dec 4, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Troy Pierce

William Linz
77 Central Ave Apt 207
Roselle, IL 60172-1947

021106

our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Roberta Lorenz

Troy Pierce
4325 N Dayton St Apt 201
Chicago, IL 60613-1449

Dec 4, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Frank Probst

Roberta Lorenz
3301 Maple Ave
Brookfield, IL 60513-1240

Dec 4, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater,

(measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Candace Lorkiewicz

Frank Probst
17699 E 1000th Ave
Effingham, IL 62401-5830

Dec 4, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Jacqueline price

Candace Lorkiewicz
806 Maple Ave
Lisle, IL 60532-2350

Dec 4, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well

back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Lisa Emeott

Jacqueline price
17410 Brook Crossing Ln
Orland Park, IL 60467-8511

Dec 4, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Eileen Pech

Lisa Emeott
5608 Silentbrook Ln
Rolling Meadows, IL 60008-2118

Dec 4, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it

Eileen Pech
3100 Clinton Ave
Berwyn, IL 60402-2902

Dec 4, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Clifford Rot

hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Gary Ritchie

Clifford Rot
1005 Meadow Ln
Elgin, IL 60123-1429

Dec 4, 2013

abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Kathleen Tovey

Gary Ritchie
204 W Front St
Roanoke, IL 61561-7697

Dec 4, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from

when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Patmarie Bailey-Swartz

Kathleen Tovey
10031 W Kennedy Rd
Peotone, IL 60468-9004

Dec 4, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or

away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

Stop poisoning us for profits.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Frank brisk

Patmarie Bailey-Swartz
546 Glendale Rd
Glenview, IL 60025-4542

Dec 4, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits,

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Geetanjali K. Singh U.S. Army (Retired)

Frank brisk
2617 cental dr
flossmoor, IL 60430

Dec 4, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile

Kandace Shick

Geetanjali K. Singh U.S. Army
(Retired)
276 Stonehill Ln
Schaumburg, IL 60193-1741

Dec 4, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

I gave 25 years of my life in service to this ccountry so our people could live a happier existence. But people can't live without clean water, and you can't drink oil!!! Don't screw up our natural resources. !!! Don't be a bought dog!!! Once its gone, its gone! We will end up trucking in water like other communities who have had fracking on their land. Destruction of the water will sit on your soul, and the diseases of mankind will be on your hands. These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

Dec 4, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,

021121

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Carol Lapetino

Kandace Shick
37 Stainfield Dr
Plano, IL 60545-9529

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
angie davies

Carol Lapetino
6441 Loomes Ave
Downers Grove, IL 60516-2458

Dec 4, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

(a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Stephan Loubere

angie davies
1260 prairie
new lenox, IL 60451

Dec 4, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Tamara Dreier

Stephan Loubere
6954 N Greenview Ave
Chicago, IL 60626-3458

Dec 4, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at

contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Ed Cornwell

Tamara Dreier
830 Cardiff Ct
O Fallon, IL 62269-6863

Dec 4, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those

will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Letitia Noel

Ed Cornwell
2504 Propes Ave
Granite City, IL 62040-5614

Dec 4, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of

hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Mikael Stefansson

Letitia Noel
55 W Goethe St
Chicago, IL 60610-7406

Dec 4, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois

K. Zachariadou 6
Larnaca, IL 60111

Dec 4, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Alex Booker

Mikael Stefansson

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
M. Vetter

Alex Booker
1639 Napa Dr
Gurnee, IL 60031-5136

Dec 4, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking. Please, for the sake of our land, our health, our children, and even future generations reconsider these new rules and do not allow any permits for fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Malissa Winkowski

M. Vetter
413 S East Ave
Oak Park, IL 60302-3909

Dec 4, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

(measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Audra Soulias

Malissa Winkowski
2148 N Central Park Ave
Chicago, IL 60647-3599

Dec 4, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Jane Krupicka

Audra Soulias
300 N Canal St
Chicago, IL 60606-1236

Dec 4, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well

back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Perry Johnson

Jane Krupicka
222 Janet Dr
Island Lake, IL 60042-9601

Dec 4, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Artemis Asproyerakas

Perry Johnson
5820 Carol Ave
Morton Grove, IL 60053-3005

Dec 4, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it

Artemis Asproyerakas
1322 W Ohio St
Chicago, IL 60642-6456

Dec 4, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Beverly Dattilo

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

Most importantly, these new rules will almost guarantee that Illinois's groundwater will be contaminated with cancer-causing chemicals, gases and even radioactive brines.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is grossly inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet.

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know that widespread drilling and fracking itself combined with leaking and failing casings will expand these pathways. These plus existing natural geological features may create new routes for contamination that may not be manifest for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, all problems associated with fracking would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Don Mcclure

Beverly Dattilo
15114 Evergreen Dr Apt 1b
Orland Park, IL 60462-5307

Dec 4, 2013

021139

our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Ronald Smith

Don Mcclure
608 W Green St Apt 3
Champaign, IL 61820-8021

Dec 4, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal. We already have the New Madrid fault that sent shocks across the state as far as Johnsburg.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Susan Lanes

Ronald Smith
536 Selborne Rd
Riverside, IL 60546-1629

Dec 4, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater,

existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
mike butche

Susan Lanes
2321 Fairview Ave
Johnsburg, IL 60051-2539

Dec 4, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow. THERE HAVE BEEN TOO MANY UNRESOLVED PROBLEMS OUT WEST AND IN PENNSYLVANIA, WE DO NOT NEED THAT IN ILLINOIS!

contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Jennifer Morski

mike butche
2660 Carriage Ct
Aurora, IL 60504-5238

Dec 4, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those

will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Cynthia Wright

Jennifer Morski
6413 Cedar Rd
Oak Forest, IL 60452-1501

Dec 4, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of

hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
walt rippa

Cynthia Wright
934 E County Road 750 N
Tuscola, IL 61953-7563

Dec 4, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois

20872 W Verona Ave
Lake Villa, IL 60046-8948

Dec 4, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
terry koehler

walt rippa

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Julie Griffith

terry koehler
641 McHenry Ave Apt A
Woodstock, IL 60098-2911

Dec 4, 2013

Food & Water Watch

021148

create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
walt rippa

Julie Griffith
1020 Kehoe Dr
St Charles, IL 60174-3739

Dec 4, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
S.A. Kips

walt rippa
20872 W Verona Ave
Lake Villa, IL 60046-8948

Dec 4, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to

away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Jody Martin

S.A. Kips
726 Butterfield Rd
Oakbrook Terrace, IL 60181-4250

Dec 4, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Patricia Moffett-Ward

Jody Martin
907 Wescott Rd
Bolingbrook, IL 60440-9012

Dec 4, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile

begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Chuck Waggoner

Patricia Moffett-Ward
601 Oak St
Quincy, IL 62301-2509

Dec 4, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

Anglique Sophina

Chuck Waggoner
141-1/2 East Main Street
Shelbyville, IL 62565

Dec 4, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Susan Barrons

Anglique Sophina
2100 2137th Ave
Atlanta, IL 61723-8732

021156

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
EMI DAY

Susan Barrons
1205 Court C
Hanover Park, IL 60133-5505

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

(a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, what we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Costa Nikolaides

EMI DAY
1100 N Dearborn St
Chicago, IL 60610-7175

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
George Pappas

Costa Nikolaides
2s145 Hampton Ln
Lombard, IL 60148-5138

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases, and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at

contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Haris Lakaca

George Pappas
3422 N Albany Ave
Chicago, IL 60618-5602

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those

will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Steven Hirsh

Haris Lakaca
6454 N Claremont Ave
Chicago, IL 60645-5449

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of

hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Mike Charlesworth

Steven Hirsh
6857 N Kolmar Ave
Lincolnwood, IL 60712-4702

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois

919 Wartburg Dr
Bloomington, IL 61704-8747

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Steven Hirsh

Mike Charlesworth

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Ruth Kopala

Steven Hirsh
6857 N Kolmar Ave
Lincolnwood, IL 60712-4702

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

(a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

If you haven't seen them yet, I urge you to watch the two "Gasland" documentaries. If you didn't think fracking was dangerous, you will after you watch those documentaries.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Mark Tischler

Ruth Kopala
317 E Elm Ave
La Grange, IL 60525-6427

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

If you haven't seen them yet, I urge you to watch the two "Gasland" documentaries. If you didn't think fracking was dangerous, you will after you watch those documentaries.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Barbara Tischler

Mark Tischler
418 Brighton Dr
Wheaton, IL 60189-8102

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Vincent Hardt

Barbara Tischler
418 Brighton Dr
Wheaton, IL 60189-8102

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile

begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Ann Meister

Vincent Hardt
29w424 Butternut Ln
Warrenville, IL 60555-2632

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

Jolene Grider

Ann Meister
3508 N New England Ave
Chicago, IL 60634-3749

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Mary Ann Black

Jolene Grider
2578 County Road 400 N
Broadlands, IL 61816-9721

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 fee

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Stephanie Lundeen

Mary Ann Black
101 N Long St
Caseyville, IL 62232-1003

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

(a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Anthony Withers

Stephanie Lundeen
5226 Hoffman St
Skokie, IL 60077-2417

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Steve Wanninger

Anthony Withers
PO Box 205
Garden Prairie, IL 61038-0205

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at

contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Sandra Meland

Steve Wanninger
1714 Melrose St
Rockford, IL 61103-4748

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those

will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Michael Jensen

Sandra Meland
5125 Blodgett Ave Apt 419
Downers Grove, IL 60515-5043

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of

hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
James Hansen

Michael Jensen
2029 Ashland Ave
Evanston, IL 60201-3401

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois

802 Lake Ave
Wilmette, IL 60091-1726

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Mary Lane

James Hansen

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Marc Jauhiainen

Mary Lane
1107 S Old Wilke Rd
Arlington Heights, IL 60005-2978

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Ann Charland

Marc Jauhiainen
4408 W Shamrock Ln Apt 2d
Mchenry, IL 60050-3170

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Thomas Frazzetta

Ann Charland
4612 Bears Paw Ct
Springfield, IL 62711-6710

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to

away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
David Gendry

Thomas Frazzetta
916 W William St
Champaign, IL 61821-4511

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Ellen Savage

David Gendry
1809 W Forest Ave
Decatur, IL 62522-2648

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile

begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Alvin Humphrey

Ellen Savage
1570 McCraren Rd
Highland Park, IL 60035-2715

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

Kerry Rose

Alvin Humphrey
525 Martin Cave Ln
Goreville, IL 62939-2713

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Dorothy Stoner

Kerry Rose
44w527 Welter Rd
Maple Park, IL 60151-8446

021189

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Jerome Hossli

Dorothy Stoner
1130 Longford Rd
Bartlett, IL 60103-1939

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

(a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Joe F

Jerome Hossli
1301 W Fillmore St
Chicago, IL 60607-4806

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Glenn Golden

Joe F
1314 Wisconsin Ave Apt 1
Berwyn, IL 60402-1253

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at

contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Linda Annen

Glenn Golden
303 Greenleaf Ave
Wilmette, IL 60091-1909

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those

outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Mike Dotson

Linda Annen
1902 Arbor Fields Dr
Plainfield, IL 60586-5729

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

I have family and friends who live near the area and could be exposed to contaminates from their water supply, etc. Would any congress person of Illinois allow their families to drink or bathe in contaminated water? Or grow food supplies in contaminated earth?

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of

Mike Dotson
102 Anderson St Apt B
Carterville, IL 62918-1202

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
J. Fiola

rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Char Rush

J. Fiola
724 Bangs St
Aurora, IL 60505-5312

Dec 3, 2013

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Brock Auerbach-Lynn

Char Rush
2008 N Thirteen Club Dr
Peoria, IL 61604-3765

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed

grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Charlene Crudup

Brock Auerbach-Lynn
435 W Oakdale Ave
Chicago, IL 60657-5960

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Lora Chamberlain

Charlene Crudup
821 S Williams St Apt B603
Westmont, IL 60559-2495

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and

widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
diane libman

Lora Chamberlain
6341 N. Glenwood, 1#
Chicago, IL 60660

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock

of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Michael Cross

diane libman
1132 W Lunt Ave
Chicago, IL 60626-3563

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that

well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Cynthia Johnson

Michael Cross
30w715 Whispering Winds Dr
Naperville, IL 60563-1056

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence

Park Forest, IL 60466-1401

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Jon Womack

Cynthia Johnson
128 26th St

021205

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Michelle Groves

Jon Womack
2601 Lynnville Ct
Lindenwood, IL 61049-9721

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

021206

flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
E Grandt

Michelle Groves
416 Division
Itasca, IL 60142

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Shelley Anderson

E Grandt
360 W Illinois St Apt 1c
Chicago, IL 60654-5236

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Michael Dymmoch

Shelley Anderson
2434 W Morse Ave
Chicago, IL 60645-4612

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits

fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Debra Rodgers

Michael Dymmoch
PO Box 2254
Northbrook, IL 60065-2254

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Laura Strong

Debra Rodgers
510 S Mason St
Bloomington, IL 61701-4920

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and

Laura Strong
5490 Alexandria Dr
Lake IN The Hills, IL 60156-6211

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Darrel Bruck Jr.

rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Jeffrey Sanders

Darrel Bruck Jr.
2943 Chippewa Dr
Bourbonnais, IL 60914-4304

Dec 3, 2013

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Ken Schulman

Jeffrey Sanders
1577 Winnetka Rd
Glenview, IL 60025-1821

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed

grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Deborah Cheek

Ken Schulman
233 Chandler Dr
Mundelein, IL 60060-1732

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
David Williams

Deborah Cheek
503 W Center St
Mount Morris, IL 61054-1315

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and

widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Michelle Skrip

David Williams
2875 Heritage Dr
Joliet, IL 60435-4700

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock

of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Arlene Gloria Hirsch

Michelle Skrip
1654 Peter Ln
Romeoville, IL 60446-5163

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that

Arlene Gloria Hirsch
6325 N Sheridan Rd Apt 1901
Chicago, IL 60660-5721

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

Please make better rules to keep harm from being done. Once things are ruined,
they will stay ruined. Please do not be reckless with non-renewable resources.
Our water belongs to all the people. Thank you.

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Nicolas Baria

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal. Look at what happened near Youngstown Ohio.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
David Ziegler

Nicolas Baria
4718 N Saint Louis Ave
Chicago, IL 60625-5376

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Darlene Pavlik

David Ziegler
4765 N Linder Ave Apt 3c
Chicago, IL 60630-3439

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Barbara McIntosh

Darlene Pavlik
3301 N Hamilton Ave
Chicago, IL 60618-6216

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from

back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Kristin Logerquist
1036 S. Kenilworth
Oak Park, IL 60304

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Kristin Logerquist

Barbara McIntosh
3924 Belle Meade Ln
Rockford, IL 61108-2012

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned

Kristin Logerquist
1036 S Kenilworth Ave
Oak Park, IL 60304-1915

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Seetal Varughese

rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Trina Patton

Seetal Varughese
4972 Wild Rose Ln
Long Grove, IL 60047-5280

Dec 3, 2013

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
patricia canterbury

Trina Patton
1114 Howard St
Wheaton, IL 60187-3810

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed

grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Cortney Zaret

patricia canterbury
5525 S Richmond St
Chicago, IL 60629-2124

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Max Murillo

Cortney Zaret
3647 N Wilton Ave # 1
Chicago, IL 60613-4312

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and

widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Lisa Langbein

Max Murillo
1505 Catalpa St
Waukegan, IL 60085-5328

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock

of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
E. Shimasaki

Lisa Langbein
23314 Allen Robert Dr
Plainfield, IL 60544-7953

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that

well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Patricia Guido

E. Shimasaki
615 Long Rd
Glenview, IL 60025-3455

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence

Belleville, IL 62220-4153

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

STOP protecting oil and big business and START protecting the American people.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
David Berchtold

Patricia Guido
706 E D St

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Elizabeth Lennon

David Berchtold
402 N Main Ave
Minier, IL 61759-7503

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

(a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Donald Sanborn

Elizabeth Lennon
4333 N Kildare Ave
Chicago, IL 60641-2011

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Rachel Goldstein

Donald Sanborn
617 Illinois St
Geneva, IL 60134-1349

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at

contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
P M

Rachel Goldstein
1334 S Indiana Pkwy
Chicago, IL 60605-2618

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those

will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Clarisse Perrette

P M
Dewitt
Chicago, IL 60611-7314

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of

hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Melodie Huffman

Clarisse Perrette
999 N Lake Shore Dr
Chicago, IL 60611-1305

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois

47 Shorewood Dr S
Danville, IL 61832-1415

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Matthew Genaze

Melodie Huffman

021244

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Randy Kiel

Matthew Genaze
3901 Clausen Ave
Western Springs, IL 60558-1226

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

021245

create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Tony Krohn

Randy Kiel
318 Illinois St
Crystal Lake, IL 60014-3619

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Joshua Herman

Tony Krohn
2566 Redlich Ct
Decatur, IL 62521-4921

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to

away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Carla Womack

Joshua Herman
2542 Old Glenview Rd
Wilmette, IL 60091-3117

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Renate Gokl

Carla Womack
2601 Lynnville Ct
Lindenwood, IL 61049-9721

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile

begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Annie Wilder

Renate Gokl
5838 S Stony Island Ave Apt 15e
Chicago, IL 60637-2028

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

Maureen Verwiel

Annie Wilder
711b Wind Rivers Dr
Grafton, IL 62037-1140

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Mylane Bryant

Maureen Verwiel
2129 N Magnolia Ave
Chicago, IL 60614-4011

create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

We have enough problems with contaminated ground water caused by farming and farm animals. Please don't allow fracking. Please protect our ground water.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Judith Luckenbach Nelson

Mylane Bryant
708 South Ave
Saint Charles, IL 60174-3057

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

We have enough problems with contaminated ground water caused by farming and farm animals. Please don't allow fracking. Please protect our ground water.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Judith Luckenbach Nelson

Judith Luckenbach Nelson
1036 Grove St
Jacksonville, IL 62650-2338

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to

contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Jaclyn Olson

Judith Luckenbach Nelson
1036 Grove St
Jacksonville, IL 62650-2338

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those

will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
A.M. Volz

Jaclyn Olson
429 Highland Ave
West Dundee, IL 60118-1277

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of

hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Ruth Peterson

A.M. Volz
2025 S Indiana Ave Apt 508
Chicago, IL 60616-4890

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois

611Normal Road
DeKalb, IL 60115

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Christine Austin

Ruth Peterson

021260

Carole Pooler
4942 N Washtenaw Ave
Chicago, IL 60625-2724

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

021261

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Carole Pooler

Kristine Runyon
704 N Blanchard St
Wheaton, IL 60187-4406

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed

rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Kristine Runyon

Ronald Golland
1305 Dennis Pl
Des Plaines, IL 60018-1403

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for

fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Ronald Golland

Ellen Domke
1301 W Thorndale Ave
Chicago, IL 60660-3305

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two

respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Ellen Domke

Lance Ofenloch
4849 W Warner Ave
Chicago, IL 60641-1815

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Lance Ofenloch

Karen Lowrance
620 N Oak Tree Rd
Parkersburg, IL 62452-2203

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing

fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Karen Lowrance

Joel Libman
5455 N Sheridan Rd Apt 2911
Chicago, IL 60640-1940

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Joel Libman

David Rechs
221 S Maple Ave Apt A
Oak Park, IL 60302-3095

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
David Rechs

Omar Santos
35 Victoria Lane
Elgin, IL 60124-4339

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Omar Santos

Susan Weber
795 Riford Rd
Glen Ellyn, IL 60137-3952

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Susan Weber

diane janicki
2230 Norfolk Ave
Crete, IL 60417-9685

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one

well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
diane janicki

J Komistra
414 Washington St
Galena, IL 61036-2140

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned

wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
J Komistra

hille haker
931 Sherman Ave
Evanston, IL 60202-1703

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from

fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
hille haker

Dawn Albanese
156 Basswood Dr
Elk Grove Village, IL 60007-1718

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Dawn Albanese

Janice Lindquist
4152 N Harding Ave
Chicago, IL 60618-1943

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Janice Lindquist

Jude Grant
636 2nd St
Bethalto, IL 62010-1413

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Jude Grant

Gladys Bryer
550 Sheridan Sq
Evanston, IL 60202-3100

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed

rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Gladys Bryer

Jill Lawless
1178 Prairie Trl
Grayslake, IL 60030-3540

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for

fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Jill Lawless

J. Brunner
2639 E Bel Aire Dr
Arlington Heights, IL 60004-6601

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two

respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
J. Brunner

Alexandria Klug
3608 W Grace St
Chicago, IL 60618-4111

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Alexandria Klug

John Meeks
PO Box 408151
Chicago, IL 60640-0004

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing

fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
John Meeks

Barbara Beam
1315 W Ash St
Springfield, IL 62704-3412

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Barbara Beam

Misti Schneider
438 Red Bird St
Troy, IL 62294-2121

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Misti Schneider

Loryn Ankeny
219 S Kaspar Ave
Arlington Hts, IL 60005-1769

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit-only when the safety of drinking water and lives can be guaranteed

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines. I listened to the forum pm;online, that you had for these rules last week and I was appalled that these rules are so inadequate on keeping our groundwater and drinking water safe and human lives.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois

will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems such as not providing the health professionals with a complete list of all chemicals that will be used in this process, that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Loryn Ankeny

Leonard Olszewski
3417 East Ave
Berwyn, IL 60402-3746

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Leonard Olszewski

Bonita Staas
11294 N Henderson Rd
Orangeville, IL 61060-9676

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

Please!! Have some mercy on us!!! These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits

on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking. NO FRACKING! Please let us invest in solar or even wind power instead. We can do it.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Bonita Staas

Rochelle Brophy
Rockwell
Chicago, IL 60647

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to

create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Rochelle Brophy

Jolene Campbell
709 Truman St
Moro, IL 62067-1835

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Jolene Campbell

Jude Wrzesinski
5120 Rotary Rd
Cherry Valley, IL 61016-9602

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

You are dealing with our air and water. We all have to breathe and drink. Please, please do not poison us!

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Jude Wrzesinski

Patrick Dillon
6483 N Northwest Hwy Apt 302
Chicago, IL 60631-1487

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an

outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Patrick Dillon

Tina Brenza
419 N Mulford Rd Ste 5
Rockford, IL 61107-5198

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and

fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Tina Brenza

Kathy Kras
6200 Sunset Ave
La Grange Highlands, IL
60525-3852

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile

away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Kathy Kras

Dale Janssen
17101 S Parker Rd
Homer Glen, IL 60491-6102

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under

the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Dale Janssen

William LaMorte
7427 W 109th Pl
Worth, IL 60482-1016

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to

create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
William LaMorte

Karen Pallist
2200 W Arthur Ave
Chicago, IL 60645

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate.

There are many examples of communities around the country who have been altered because of their proximity to fracking sites. Those changes have not been for the better and many households suffer from toxic chemicals in their water, land and even the air.

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Karen Pallist

Keith Nathan
362 Meadow Ct
Vernon Hills, IL 60061-1920

Dec 3, 2013

021300

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

I am totally offended that any of you would allow IL to become a "dead state, whose lands are no longer plentiful; whose waterway's are filled with toxic chemicals; and a state that lets money in the right people's pockets determine the future destruction of The State of Illinois!"

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Keith Nathan

Rosette Bagley
25w042 Keswick Ln
Naperville, IL 60540-5910

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed

rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Rosette Bagley

Pypre Hubbard
529 Shelly St
Alton, IL 62002-6021

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for

fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Pypre Hubbard

John Cole
68 Sterling Cir Apt 107
Wheaton, IL 60189-2123

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two

respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
John Cole

Joyce Jolliff
2084 Mallard Ln
Carbondale, IL 62902-0843

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Joyce Jolliff

Jeremiah Gerst
488 Water Tower Rd S
Manteno, IL 60950-1725

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing

fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Jeremiah Gerst

Scott Smith
29w420 White Oak Dr
Warrenville, IL 60555-2683

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Scott Smith

Rose Martin
16845 Head Ave
Hazel Crest, IL 60429-1309

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Rose Martin

joy kaye
2 Sunshine Ct
Bloomington, IL 61704-2342

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
joy kaye

Howard Holmes
2962 Shelly Ln
Aurora, IL 60504-5887

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Howard Holmes

John Pearson
3913 W 82nd St
Chicago, IL 60652-2909

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one

well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
John Pearson

pat matz
302 Roger Rd
Darien, IL 60561-3971

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned

wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
pat matz

carolyn massey
632 1/2 N 6th St
Quincy, IL 62301-2302

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from

fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
carolyn massey

Marianne Schenker
Sheridan rd
Chicago, IL 60657

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Marianne Schenker

Marianne Schenker
Sheridan rd
Chicago, IL 60657

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

021316

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Marianne Schenker

Daniel Puetz
990 N Cove Dr
Palatine, IL 60067-1900

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Daniel Puetz

Sandi Redman
5340 Washington St
Skokie, IL 60077-2456

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed

rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Sandi Redman

Rosalie Johnson
19n710 Lundstrom Ln
Dundee, IL 60118-9207

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for

fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Rosalie Johnson

Bob Konieczka
1584 Millstone Ln
Gurnee, IL 60031-5681

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two

respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Bob Konieczka

Daniel Baker
4121 Jody Ct
Rolling Meadows, IL 60008-2360

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Daniel Baker

Becky Dees-McMahon
PO Box 643
Sheffield, IL 61361-0643

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing

fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Becky Dees-McMahon

Ashley Given
16 Sedgwick Rd
Oswego, IL 60543-8907

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Ashley Given

Ellen credille Credille
1218 W North Shore Ave
Chicago, IL 60626-5602

021325

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Ellen credille Credille

Jim O'Neil
14721 N Court 6
Effingham, IL 62401-7646

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Jim O'Neil

Joan Whitehead
14109 Moore Rd
Marion, IL 62959-6837

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Joan Whitehead

David Domal
3034 E Stone Creek Blvd
Urbana, IL 61802-9447

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one

well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
David Domal

Gretel Hengst
3654 15th Avenue Ct
Moline, IL 61265-3456

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned

wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Gretel Hengst

Brady Lavin
1340 W Touhy Ave
Chicago, IL 60626-2645

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from

fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Brady Lavin

Rick Simkin
4517 N Mozart St
Chicago, IL 60625-3816

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

Contaminated water threatens the health and safety of the people of Illinois. It also threatens the salability of products from Illinois: crops grown with carcinogenic water, animals raised with radioactive water, products manufactured with carcinogens and radioactive materials will be rejected by customers around the world, and will cause people around the world to view Illinois products with suspicion and disfavor.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Rick Simkin

christy monroe
332 Berry Dr
Naperville, IL 60540-5102

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
christy monroe

S Nolan
1076 Il Route 10
Monticello, IL 61856-8041

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed

rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
S Nolan

ANDRA ADDIS
6041 N Talman Ave
Chicago, IL 60659-4033

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for

fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
ANDRA ADDIS

Dale Grupe
1706 Idlewild Ln
Homewood, IL 60430-3909

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two

respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Dale Grupe

Joshua Shirar
646 E Pennsylvania Dr Apt 6
Palatine, IL 60074-1974

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Joshua Shirar

Brittanny Norton
1015 Madison St
Evanston, IL 60202-3457

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing

fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Brittanny Norton

Holly Schleizer
411 Washington St
Glenview, IL 60025-5054

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Holly Schleizer

karen stancil
5n210 Glen Ellyn Rd
Bloomingdale, IL 60108-1666

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
karen stancil

Tymue Upshire
1255 S Michigan Ave
Chicago, IL 60605-3286

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Tymue Upshire

Jeffrey Gahris
520 Longfellow Ave
Glen Ellyn, IL 60137-4715

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Jeffrey Gahris

Brian Angel
15100 S 94th Ave
Orland Park, IL 60462-3865

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one

well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Brian Angel

Victoria Cummings
1608 Flower St
Mchenry, IL 60050-3722

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned

wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Victoria Cummings

Neil Kijek
201 W Parker St
Gardner, IL 60424-6218

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from

fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Neil Kijek

Mary Derbick-Johnson
1930 N Harlem Ave
Elmwood Park, IL 60707-3742

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Mary Derbick-Johnson

Micheal Cwynar
2106 Washington Ave
Wilmette, IL 60091-2373

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Micheal Cwynar

Mary Jo Osowski
315 N Stone Ave
La Grange Park, IL 60526-1818

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Mary Jo Osowski

Vicki Gerberich
4031 Woodland Ave
Western Springs, IL 60558-1123

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed

rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Vicki Gerberich

David Llewellyn
3604 Lawrence Dr
Naperville, IL 60564-4111

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for

fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
David Llewellyn

Bob Jorgensen
212 Sunnybrook Dr
East Peoria, IL 61611-3462

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two

respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Bob Jorgensen

Michelle McCloskey
5352 W Sunnyside Ave
Chicago, IL 60630-3768

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Michelle McCloskey

Rona omer
6539 N Kolmar Ave
Lincolnwood, IL 60712-3440

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing

fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Rona omer

Jane Wand
3800 Columbus Rd
Quincy, IL 62305-4763

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Jane Wand

Andrew Locatelli
4209 N Kedvale Ave
Chicago, IL 60641-2219

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Andrew Locatelli

Betty Morefield
2116 W Meadow Ln
Carbondale, IL 62901-2047

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Betty Morefield

Dan Novak
551 W Surf St
Chicago, IL 60657-6020

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Dan Novak

Matthew Williams
1715 W Estes Ave
Chicago, IL 60626-2431

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one

well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Matthew Williams

Kristin Coe-West
111 Tenney St
Kewanee, IL 61443-3447

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned

wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Kristin Coe-West

Mary Kage
4116 N Kilbourn Ave # 2
Chicago, IL 60641-1913

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from

fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Mary Kage

patrick pierce
4015 Boone Creek Cir
Mchenry, IL 60050-3987

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
patrick pierce

Michael Dieterle
8705 S Rood Rd
Kingston, IL 60145-8281

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

021366

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Michael Dieterle

021367

John O'Flahrity
2323 W Pershing Rd Apt 409
Chicago, IL 60609-2234

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
John O'Flahrity

Susan Spengler
620 E Kenilworth Ave
Palatine, IL 60074-6337

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed

rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

Although I do not live anywhere near the site, I am very concerned about the water supply in this state and country. Anything, increased energy or not, is more important than having clean air to breathe and water to drink.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Susan Spengler

Jennifer Cunningham
504 N Elmwood Dr
Aurora, IL 60506-2810

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously

inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Jennifer Cunningham

MARIANNE UPP
6326 N Hermitage Ave
Chicago, IL 60660-1106

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits,

when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
MARIANNE UPP

Phyllis Jenkins
150 E Foch St
Carlinville, IL 62626-3699

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or

abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Phyllis Jenkins

Paulette Dubetz
8138 W Addison St
Chicago, IL 60634-2010

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from

hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Paulette Dubetz

Rick Russo
5555 N Sheridan Rd
Chicago, IL 60640-1601

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

FRACK NO! In Illinois!
These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Rick Russo

Patricia Pruitt
1032 Randolph St
Oak Park, IL 60302-3406

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an

outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Patricia Pruitt

Steven Hall
7706 La Harve Dr
Rockford, IL 61103-7725

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and

fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Steven Hall

Rev. Jeff Zimmerman
637 Elder Ln
Deerfield, IL 60015-3146

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: More Controls on Fracking Permits

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Rev. Jeff Zimmerman

Lynne Rooney-Katsma
319 Millstream Ln
Oswego, IL 60543-8279

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits

on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Lynne Rooney-Katsma

Donna Estes
689A Co. Rd. 700N
Toledo, IL 62468

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can

flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Donna Estes

Jason Blumstein
4936 N Winchester Ave
Chicago, IL 60640-3310

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Jason Blumstein

Christopher Bakker
4541 N Wolcott Ave Unit B
Chicago, IL 60640-5253

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Please Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

Please please no fracking. It's so wrong on so many levels, literally.
I'll support you 100% against any business pressure to issue permits.
Please please

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Christopher Bakker

James Lohmeier
10425 S Sawyer Ave
Chicago, IL 60655-2413

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an

outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
James Lohmeier

June Zand
1637 Dublin Ct
Inverness, IL 60067-4726

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and

fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
June Zand

Patrick McMurray
39310 N Meadow Ln
Wadsworth, IL 60083-9230

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Criminally insane!

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

The fact that there are any laws in affect that allow forthwith devastation of our water supply is purposturous. By allowing this you have set out to be amongst those that we hear about in tales of genocide. This is murder plane and simple. If one person dies from contaminated water it is murder plane and simple. Please reconsider your actions.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Patrick McMurray

Christine Austin
606 W Saluki Dr
Marion, IL 62959

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Wlliam Slowinski

Jerry Sonnefeldt
7041 N Ozanam Ave
Chicago, IL 60631-1062

Dec 5, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and

grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Jerry Sonnefeldt

Laurie Schaefer
736 S Stuart Ln
Palatine, IL 60067-6736

Dec 5, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Laurie Schaefer

Alice Macy
88 Brookside Dr
Elgin, IL 60123-4824

Dec 5, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed

rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Alice Macy

Kari Sealund
304 S Wilshire Ln
Arlington Heights, IL 60004-6741

Dec 5, 2013

021390

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Kari Sealund

Peter Borchard
1420 E Lowden Ln
Mt Prospect, IL 60056-2608

Dec 5, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an

outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Peter Borchard

Margaret Crawford
2314 Timber Ridge Rd
Saint Jacob, IL 62281-1050

Dec 5, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and

fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Margaret Crawford

Alexis Fry
5105 W Dartmoor Dr
Mchenry, IL 60050-5138

Dec 5, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Alexis Fry

Maria D. Castano
3307 W Wellington Ave
Chicago, IL 60618-6813

Dec 5, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits

on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Maria D. Castano

Jo Beth Harrison
2472 W Berteau Ave # 2
Chicago, IL 60618-2804

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for

fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Jo Beth Harrison

Robert Linzmeier`
950 E Wilmette Rd
Palatine, IL 60074-6812

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater,

our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-

volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Robert Linzmeier`

Konrad Cieslikowski
5317 N Delphia Ave
Chicago, IL 60656-4615

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock

formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Konrad Cieslikowski

Robert Fricke
3913 W 124th Pl Apt 1e # 1e
Alsip, IL 60803-1434

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only

begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Robert Fricke

Steve Schueth
421 W Barry Ave
Chicago, IL 60657-5545

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned

wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Steve Schueth

S. Israil
3455 Rain
Skokie, IL 60076

Dec 3, 2013

021404

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it

back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
S. Israil

Kori Mill
1140 W Pratt Blvd Apt 2e
Chicago, IL 60626-4413

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can

flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Kori Mill

Greg Witt

1506 Lake Ave
Wilmette, IL 60091-1638

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of

contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Greg Witt

Lindsey Heffner
5 Country View Dr
Belleville, IL 62221-2561

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing

fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Lindsey Heffner

Dustin Smedley
6347 E Flora St
Kankakee, IL 60901-7566

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously

inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Dustin Smedley

Bridget Dawson
1314 Evergreen Ct
Glenview, IL 60025-3177

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules.

The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an

outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Bridget Dawson

Justin Hoffman
2524 W Wilson Ave
Chicago, IL 60625-3026

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under

the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Justin Hoffman

Sarah Ellis
21227 Somerset St
Shorewood, IL 60404-2522

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed

rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Sarah Ellis

Mary Barbezat
353 N Liberty St
Elgin, IL 60120-4207

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Mary Barbezat

John Homola
1308 Knollwood Cir

021413

Crystal Lake, IL 60014-1829

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that

widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
John Homola

Chloe Schofield
1155 Green Bay Rd
Glencoe, IL 60022-1145

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Chloe Schofield

Nancy Wilkinson
207 N Clay St Apt 1
Mount Carroll, IL
61053-1140

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously

inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Nancy Wilkinson

Janice Polito
24 Crestwood Dr
Streamwood, IL 60107-1606

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules.

021423

The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an

outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Janice Polito

Aana Vigen
6424 N Glenwood Ave
Chicago, IL 60626-6301

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under

the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Aana Vigen

Paul Williams
4825 W Hutchinson St
Chicago, IL 60641-1610

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

021426

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed

rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Paul Williams

Mitch Romanowski
322 W Glade Rd
Palatine, IL 60067-6832

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Mitch Romanowski

young klessig
3740 N Claremont Ave

021429

Chicago, IL 60618-4804

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that

widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
young klessig

Dorothy Battle
6088 Brookline Ct
Lisle, IL 60532-2863

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Dorothy Battle

Kerry Kuhn
419 Springsouth Rd
Schaumburg, IL 60193-3547

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one

well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Kerry Kuhn

Vimala Menon
26w153 Blackhawk Dr
Wheaton, IL 60189-5903

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources

and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Vimala Menon

Donna Janovsky
333 E Ontario St
Chicago, IL 60611-4804

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits

on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Donna Janovsky

Judy Mayer
815 17th St
Rock Island, IL 61201-2561

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois

will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Judy Mayer

Jenny Campbell
3716 W Dickens Ave
Chicago, IL 60647-3409

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Jenny Campbell

Patricia Barth
9479 Bay Colony Dr
Des Plaines, IL 60016-3606

- 021440

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating

new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Patricia Barth

Debra Weninger
2460 W Pensacola Ave
Chicago, IL 60618-1608

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,

Debra Weninger

Charles Bialeschki
2604 Brownfield Rd
Urbana, IL 61802-7655

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile

away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Charles Bialeschki

Zach Weller
4838 W Patterson Ave
Chicago, IL 60641-3554

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Zach Weller

Jenna Streeter
420 E Waterside Dr
Chicago, IL 60601-8001

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well

(measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Jenna Streeter

Monique Wallace
608 S 19th St
Herrin, IL 62948-2306

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

021448

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence

of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Monique Wallace

Theresa Ebel
Huntington Ct
Orland Park, IL 60462

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at

(a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Theresa Ebel

Pamela Kersting
176 Farm Gate Ln
Bolingbrook, IL 60440-1501

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Pamela Kersting

John Koperczak
11233 S Nagle Ave
Worth, IL 60482-1924

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or

abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
John Koperczak

Edlin Brewer
339 W Barry Ave Apt 9c
Chicago, IL 60657-5602

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Edlin Brewer

Sanford Wilder
711 Wind Rivers Dr
Grafton, IL 62037-1140

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for

high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Sanford Wilder

Katie Johnson
1107 E Main St
Urbana, IL 61802-2827

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two

respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Katie Johnson

K. Dipinto
10 N Lake St
Grayslake, IL 60030-3635

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: protect IL

021459

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
K. Dipinto

Renae Kerrigan
1420 E Elmhurst Ave
Peoria, IL 61603-1666

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and

grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Renae Kerrigan

Mary Luecke
3330 Lake St
Evanston, IL 60203-1919

021462

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Mary Luecke

Nicola Davies
Quenchwell
Truro, IL 61234

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from

fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Nicola Davies

Kimberly Wood
545 N Stewart Ave
Lombard, IL 60148-1723

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Kimberly Wood

Bruce Frazin
327 N Catherine Ave
La Grange Park, IL
60526-2004

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for

high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Bruce Frazin

Hillary Colby
1479 Sedona Ave
Aurora, IL 60504-3700

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two

respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Hillary Colby

Debra Diana
207 Regency Dr
Bloomingdale, IL
60108-2168

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence

of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Debra Diana

shane conger
416 S Santa Fe Ave
Princeville, IL 61559-9200

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at

(a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
shane conger

fred glickstein
111
winnetka, IL 60093

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
fred glickstein

Denise Carrington
3686 main st
Mannaheim, IL 61234

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or

abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Denise Carrington

Olga Abella
12129 N 675th St
Robinson, IL 62454-4227

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Olga Abella

Michael Mikolajczyk
247 Terrance Dr
Naperville, IL 60565-1255

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for

high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Michael Mikolajczyk

Kelvin Limbrick
1603 Valley Rd
Champaign, IL 61820-7157

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two

respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Kelvin Limbrick

Denise Pittluck
3713 Sonoma Cir
Lake IN The Hills, IL
60156-6742

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence

of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Denise Pittluck

Laura Haldeman
Burr Rd.
Channahon, IL 60410

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at

(a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Laura Haldeman

Chris Simpson
1420 Acorn Ave
Downers Grove, IL
60515-1104

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating

new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Chris Simpson

Kristi Lindfors
899 Sarah St
Sandwich, IL 60548-9223

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,

Kristi Lindfors

Karen Wilson
5761 S Blackstone Ave
Chicago, IL 60637-1823

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile

away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Karen Wilson

Denise Carrera
555 N Mount Prospect Rd
Des Plaines, IL 60016-2028

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Denise Carrera

Ricky Estrada
2437 N Talman Ave
Chicago, IL 60647-1809

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well

(measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Ricky Estrada

Peggy Schramm
1335 N Jackson St
Waukegan, IL 60085-1858

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

021492

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence

of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Peggy Schramm

Linda Bridges
201 S Glen Oak Dr
Athens, IL 62613-9701

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at

(a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Linda Bridges

Tom Merz
Illinois
Illinois, IL 60123-7558

021495

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Tom Merz

Lynn Morris
233 E Wacker Dr Apt 3504
Chicago, IL 60601-5114

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or

abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on
fracking.

FRACKING IS DANGEROUS DIRTY AND RUINS THE EARTH. IT HURTS FAMILIES,
ANIMALS AND WILDLIFE IN GENERAL. STOP THE GREEDY FRACKERS!!!

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can

guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Lynn Morris

laurie czizik
6700 S Brainard Ave
Countryside, IL 60525-4671

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those

existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
laurie czizik

Mary Fishman
11757 S Bell Ave
Chicago, IL 60643-4740

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Mary Fishman

Allyson Frink
26637 E 1800 North Rd
Cooksville, IL 61730-7501

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits,

when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Allyson Frink

David Moyer
1433 Henry Pl
Waukegan, IL 60085-1918

Dec 3, 2013

021503

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and

fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

It is truly amazing just how much the proposed new rules condemn the well-being of the people in favor of corporate profits. They are utterly abysmal and cannot be approved as they are currently written. They should be thrown out.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
David Moyer

Deborah Marak
2010 N Walnut Ave
Arlington Heights, IL
60004-3000

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater,

our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-

volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Deborah Marak

K Brinkmann
Michigan Ave
Urbana, IL 61801

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock

formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
K Brinkmann

JANUSZ MAKA
5408 N Moody Ave
Chicago, IL 60630-1051

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only

begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
JANUSZ MAKA

Susan Francis
2200 Cherry Ln Apt 116
Lisle, IL 60532-1109

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

I am actually stunned at your proposed rules, as I expected far, far better from Illinois, especially in light of all that has happened in Ohio and Pennsylvania. Do we learn nothing?!?! These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at

(a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Susan Francis

Melissa Suzik
534 N Rumple Ln
Addison, IL 60101-1721

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Melissa Suzik

J. M.
167 N Humphrey Ave
Oak Park, IL 60302-2513

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

PLEASE SAY NO!

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
J. M.

Kim Holeton
27126 Five Points Road
Sycamore, IL 60178-9036

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one

well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Kim Holeton

Dawn Austin
215 Siwiha Dr
Grayslake, IL 60030-1443

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources

and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Dawn Austin

Tim Yoder
44 Elm Ave
Highwood, IL 60040-1930

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits

on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Tim Yoder

Kristin Mathis
12606 Highland Ave
Blue Island, IL 60406-1942

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois

will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Kristin Mathis

John Watson
419 Custer Ave
Evanston, IL 60202-5606

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
John Watson

Mark Goldman
840 Krystal Ln
New Lenox, IL 60451-2696

021522

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating

new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Mark Goldman

Joseph Szuszwalak, Jr.
1468 Newcastle Ln
Bartlett, IL 60103-8931

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

621524

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,

Joseph Szuszwalak, Jr.

Cheryl Ivey
W Margate
Chicago, IL 60640-3083

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile

away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Cheryl Ivey

sharon white
847 11th Ave
Silvis, IL 61282

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
sharon white

Whitney Graves
211 W Northgate Rd
Peoria, IL 61614-2144

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well

(measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Whitney Graves

Katherine Carson
N Wells St
Chicago, IL 60614-5807

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

021533

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence

of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Katherine Carson

Russ Wagner
47 Briar Ln
Geneva, IL 60134-2413

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at

(a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Russ Wagner

Robert Krueger
6538 N Newgard Ave
Chicago, IL 60626-5010

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Robert Krueger

Richard Smith
2849 Atlantic St
Franklin Park, IL 60131-2601

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or

abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Richard Smith

G21536

Amalie Callahan
1522 36th St
Rock Island, IL 61201-3051

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Amalie Callahan

Liz Reid
1677 W Olive Ave
Chicago, IL 60660-4102

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for

high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Liz Reid

carol hanson
2460 Swan Pond Rd
Dongola, IL 62926-2616

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two

respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
carol hanson

Sherry Woodward
2083 Lookout Dr
Pingree Grove, IL
60140-2033

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

021541

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence

of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Sherry Woodward

LaToya Belt
1634 W Columbia Ave Apt
3n
Chicago, IL 60626-4166

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
LaToya Belt

David Atwood
10641 S Hale Ave
Chicago, IL 60643-2700

021544

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating

new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking. That is what I demand. You are charged with protecting our natural resources. You are not charged with allowing our environment to be despoiled. We, the People owe no allegiance to the extraction industries.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
David Atwood

Amy Payleitner
33261 N Lake Shore Dr
Grayslake, IL 60030-1701

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater,

our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-

volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Amy Payleitner

Liz Clark
3028 N Halsted St
Chicago, IL 60657-5197

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock

formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Liz Clark

Kathleen Williams
177th St
Tinley Park, IL 60477

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only

begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Kathleen Williams

Reva Frankel
6234 N Central Park Ave
Chicago, IL 60659-2202

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned

wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Reva Frankel

Beatriz Picallo
13034 W Choctaw Trl
Homer Glen, IL 60491-8607

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it

back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Beatriz Picallo

Elizabeth Rude
1140 Briarwood Ln
Northbrook, IL 60062-3507

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can

flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Elizabeth Rude

Lori Fagan

33 Esther St
Crystal Lake, IL 60014-6112

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of

contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Lori Fagan

Alan Ehrenberg
4539 W Deming Pl
Chicago, IL 60639-1907

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing

fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Alan Ehrenberg

patricia hatzis
26743 S Greenbriar Dr
Monee, IL 60449-9258

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously

inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
patricia hatzis

Marty Brown
23 N Harvard Ave
Villa Park, IL 60181-2328

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules.

The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an

outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Marty Brown

Judy Davy
717 Home Ave
Oak Park, IL 60304-1013

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under

the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Judy Davy

Jonathon Zammit
7659 N Bosworth Ave
Chicago, IL 60626-6116

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Fracking Will Ruin IL Water!

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed

rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Jonathon Zammit

Craig Weitzmon
1268 Brookline Ct
Naperville, IL 60563-3434

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Craig Weitzmon

Gregory Bereiter
2238 Rockefeller Dr

021566

Geneva, IL 60134-4708

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that

widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Gregory Bereiter

Kevin Sheridan
3516 N Paulina St
Chicago, IL 60657-1227

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

Richard Winship
1144 Wesley Ave
Evanston, IL 60202-1163

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for

fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Richard Winship

Tim Lapetino
1914 W Montrose Ave Apt 3
Chicago, IL 60613-1057

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater,

our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-

volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Tim Lapetino

Sergio Rivera
3319 N Karlov Ave Apt 3w
Chicago, IL 60641-4611

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock

formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Sergio Rivera

Tina Montalto
1n561 Creekside Ct
Lombard, IL 60148-1496

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only

begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Tina Montalto

Sheri Gronert
Main
Antioch, IL 60002

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned

wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Sheri Gronert

Dan Owen
669 Union Hill Rd
Carbondale, IL 62903-8215

Dec 3, 2013

021576

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it

back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Dan Owen

John McGarry
10615 Princess Ave
Chicago Ridge, IL
60415-1808

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to

create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
John McGarry

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Kevin Sheridan

Jane Drews
506 N Crestwood Ave
Mchenry, IL 60051-7532

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

It's your duty to protect the citizens of Illinois. Clean, uncontaminated water is essential to life. Everyone depends on it. Special interests should have no bearing on your responsibility to assure us that our water will not be jeopardized.

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well

(measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Jane Drews

Thomas Bowler
4015 N Rockwell St
Chicago, IL 60618-3720

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

021582

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence

of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Thomas Bowler

Lawrence Mast
549 S Wynbrooke Rd
Romeoville, IL 60446-5321

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at

(a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Lawrence Mast

Robin Weaver
2582 Sedona Dr
Normal, IL 61761-5478

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Robin Weaver

Brik Blackford
808 N Jefferson Ave
Dixon, IL 61021-1652

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or

abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Brik Blackford

Iris Rudnick
5836 N Artesian Ave
Chicago, IL 60659-5033

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

021589

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Iris Rudnick

Bonnie Carter
2342 N Spaulding Ave Apt
205
Chicago, IL 60647-2577

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Bonnie Carter

Maureen Doyle
1628 S Prospect St
Wheaton, IL 60189-7756

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well

(measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Maureen Doyle

Gina Femali
681 S Jade Ln
Round Lake, IL 60073-8164

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

021593

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence

of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Gina Femali

Rocky jette
5609 Fairview Ave
Downers Grove, IL
60516-1521

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

No freaking fracking!!

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Rocky jette

Carlye Owens

3954 W Dakin St
Chicago, IL 60618-3136

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of

contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Carlye Owens

Marc Maschino
333 W Hubbard Sy
Chicago, IL 60654

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing

fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Marc Maschino

Mark Marcheski
35w524 Parsons Rd
Dundee, IL 60118-9275

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously

inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Mark Marcheski

Bob Watkins
7064 W Main St
Niles, IL 60714-2255

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules.

The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an

outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Bob Watkins

Bob Watkins
7064 W Main St
Niles, IL 60714-2255

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under

the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Bob Watkins

Zach Barr
305 W Bissell St
Bloomington, IL 61701-6603

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

021604

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed

rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Zach Barr

Rowena Langston
prairie ave
Chicago, IL 60619

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Rowena Langston

Mary Hughes
1102 S. Jackson

021607

Sullivan, IL 61951

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that

widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Mary Hughes

Jaime Walton
123 S Green St
Chicago, IL 60607-3491

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Jaime Walton

Genevra Gallo-Bayiates
1503 Madison St
Evanston, IL 60202-2033

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

Fracking is neither safe nor sustainable. It's a short-term fix with very dire and lasting consequences. These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock

formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Genevra Gallo-Bayiates

Mardene Costa
4115 Bennett Ave
Gurnee, IL 60031-3003

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only

begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Mardene Costa

Dawn O'Rourke
3827 N Fremont St
Chicago, IL 60613-3020

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned

wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Dawn O'Rourke

David Fuchs
1560 N Sandburg Ter Apt 2902
Chicago, IL 60610-7726

Dec 3, 2013

021615

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and

fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
David Fuchs

Robert Handelsman
2643 Central Park Ave
Evanston, IL 60201-1170

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to

create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Robert Handelsman

Marilea White
5 Lake Ridge Ct
Bloomington, IL 61701-1475

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for

fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

Look at all the trouble other states, such as Pennsylvania, have had from fracking. Look at our drought a couple summers ago. There is no way to protect our environment from all the dangers of fracking. The only answer is to ban it altogether. Can you guarantee NO damage to our environment if fracking is allowed?

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Marilea White

Susan Devine
1350 W Grand Ave
Chicago, IL 60642-6406

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

021620

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only

begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Susan Devine

Ann Blanchard
3115 Town Square Dr
Rolling Meadows, IL
60008-2686

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

I don't want our Illinois groundwater contaminated with fracking's waste materials!!

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow. I don't want to be able to light my water on fire with a match!!

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned

wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Ann Blanchard

Janet Kane
1024 Shari Ln
Libertyville, IL 60048-2531

Dec 3, 2013

021623

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it

back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Janet Kane

Mary Jaeger-Voss
820 Green St
Henry, IL 61537-1050

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can

flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Mary Jaeger-Voss

Jill Kellogg

021626

4104 N. Eltston Ave.
Chicago, IL 60618

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of

contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Jill Kellogg

David Gaumer
123 Main Street
Champaign, IL 61821

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing

fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

Let us protect Illinois citizens instead of big business!!!

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can

guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
David Gaumer

Gary Kleppe
363 N Lincoln Ave
Villa Park, IL 60181-1902

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those

existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Gary Kleppe

Todd Hartman
1603 Imperial Dr
Glenview, IL 60026-1543

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Todd Hartman

jennifer Baron
4239 N Keystone Ave Apt 4n
Chicago, IL 60641-5082

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits,

when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
jennifer Baron

Frank Raguso
11s088 West St
Naperville, IL 60565-5529

Dec 3, 2013

021634

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and

fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Frank Raguso

Kathleen Gates
1190 Twisted Oak Ln
Buffalo Grove, IL
60089-1678

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from

fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Kathleen Gates

Eldon Grossman
2819 N Spaulding Ave
Chicago, IL 60618-7516

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Eldon Grossman

Cary Oakwood
9 Bernay Court
Oakwood Hills, IL 60013

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can

guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Cary Oakwood

Megan Hoff
2250 N Sheffield Ave
Chicago, IL 60614-3673

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those

existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Megan Hoff

Victoria Fuller
1949 W Wabansia Ave
Chicago, IL 60622-1343

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Victoria Fuller

BOB HAGELE
222 N Columbus Dr
Chicago, IL 60601-7810

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits,

when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
BOB HAGELE

Bradley Daniels
901 S Mattis Ave
Champaign, IL 61821-4336

Dec 3, 2013

021645

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and

fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Bradley Daniels

Mark Miller
55 Scottie Lane Dr
Goreville, IL 62939-2146

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases, and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to

create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,840 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Mark Miller

Devon Fuson

11450 Old Highway 50
Flora, IL 62839-3431

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of

contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Devon Fuson

Terrie Stewart
446 Ridgewood Ln
Buffalo Grove, IL
60089-1856

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater,

our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-

volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Terrie Stewart

Margaret Nagel
631 Hinman Ave Apt 1a
Evanston, IL 60202-2514

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules dare a disgrace to those who approved them, and a t raggedy for the state of Illinois. They must have been written in profound ignorance of the facts, or with a profound disregard for anyone except industry lobbyists. They will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines. By the way, the NRDC magazine On Earth shows categorically that the amount of oil/gas saved through energy efficiency outweighs the amount extracted by a greedy and irresponsible, to-hell-wiith-the-future industry.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits,

when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Margaret Nagel

Jeff Schneider
420 Dank Street
Chicago, IL 60622

Dec 3, 2013

021653

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and

fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Jeff Schneider

Robert Hamilton
6525 N Sheridan Rd
Chicago, IL 60626-5761

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to

create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Robert Hamilton

Michael Fuchs
562 Bovidae Cir
Naperville, IL 60565-6184

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

It is critical that your organization take as its highest priority the safety and preservation of our natural resources. You are stewards of our environment, and must demand scientific proof that industrial processes will protect that natural environment.

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously

inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Michael Fuchs

Gabriella Brown
7715 S South Shore Dr Apt 405
Chicago, IL 60649-4465

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only

begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Gabriella Brown

Mary Baechle
60 Timber Ter
Cary, IL 60013-1555

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned

wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Mary Baechle

Joseph Grant
1433 W Summerdale Ave
Chicago, IL 60640-2129

Dec 3, 2013

021661

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it

back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Joseph Grant

Peter Gunther
2318 W Sunnyside Ave # 3
Chicago, IL 60625-2114

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can

flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Peter Gunther

Sarah-Bess Dworin

1421 Sherman Ave
Evanston, IL 60201-4460

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of

contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Sarah-Bess Dworin

K Castelluccio
0s472 Circle Dr
West Chicago, IL
60185-3718

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater,

our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-

volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
K Castelluccio

George Carlson
271 Brighton Rd
Elk Grove Village, IL
60007-3423

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those

existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
George Carlson

Roger Kushla
1018 Maple Ave
Evanston, IL 60202-1238

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

621663

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

Fracking will make strip mining look like enlightened conservation!

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence

of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Roger Kushla

Ron Gary
7455 N Greenview Ave Apt 215
Chicago, IL 60626-1741

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Ron Gary

Tim Hoyt
644 W Arlington Pl
Chicago, IL 60614-6330

621672

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating

new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
Tim Hoyt

Mark Fry
322 S Illinois Ave
Villa Park, IL 60181-2922

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,

Mark Fry

John Talbot
361 Wedgewood Cir
Romeoville, IL 60446-5088

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."

These new rules will virtually guarantee that Illinois's groundwater, our most essential resource, will be contaminated with cancer-causing fracking chemicals, hydrocarbon gases and even radioactive brines.

New oil and gas wells with cement or casing failures, aging or abandoned wells with their own leaky casings, new fractures from fracking, and existing natural fractures and faults all combine to create a network of underground pathways through which contaminants can flow.

Specifically, in the proposed rules, Sections 245.210 (at (a)(6) and at (a)(7)), 245.815 (at (b)) and 245.1010 introduce an arbitrary and grossly inadequate measure to protect against so called frack hits, when new fractures from fracking intersect with aging and abandoned wells. According to these sections, to be permitted in Illinois under the proposed rules, operators would only have to worry about frack hits on existing wells that are within 750 feet of the proposed well (measured as the minimum distance between any two points along two respective boreholes). And even then, they only need to consider those existing wells if they were drilled to within 400 feet of the rock formation that the new well would target. This regulation is obviously inadequate. Just this past September, in New Mexico, fracking at one well blew fluids out of a neighboring wellhead located a half mile

away. That's 2,640 feet away!

Section 245.210(a)(6)(A) also reveals how vague the criteria for fracking permits are. Very little is known about the connectivity of contamination pathways below ground. However, we do know is that widespread drilling and fracking will expand these pathways, creating new routes for contamination that we may not see for decades.

As for the massive volumes of toxic waste generated by drilling and fracking, there are no good options for disposing of it. Injecting it back underground is the industry's favored option, as the proposed rules anticipate, but regardless of the rules, the risk that Illinois will be struck by damaging earthquakes will increase as a consequence of such disposal.

There are several other problems that the proposed regulations only begin to address. However, these problems would best be avoided with an outright ban on fracking.

In closing, I urge you to protect Illinois residents by refusing to issue a single permit for high-volume hydraulic fracturing until these regulations and the fracking industry can guarantee the health, safety and well being of the communities that surround any high-volume hydraulic fracturing operation.

Sincerely,
John Talbot

Douglas Campbell
330 N Highland Ave
Rockford, IL 61107-4302

Dec 3, 2013

Food & Water Watch

Subject: Not One Fracking Permit

Dear Illinois Department of Natural Resources Food & Water Watch,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Hydraulic Fracturing Act administrative rules. The proposed rules are grossly inadequate and would fail to protect our natural resources and our communities from hydraulic fracturing, better known as "fracking."